

AFFINE QUANTUM GROUPS AND EQUIVARIANT K-THEORY

E. VASSEROT *

Introduction. The purpose of this paper is to give complete proofs of the K -theoretic construction of the quantized enveloping algebra of the affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}(n)$ sketched in [GV]. This construction gives a geometric classification of finite dimensional simple modules of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(n)$ in terms of intersection cohomology of graded nilpotent orbits of type A. The principal results were obtained jointly with V. Ginzburg. The formula for the Drinfeld polynomials of the simple modules in the last section was not given in [GV]. The basic construction is a rephrasing of the K -theoretic construction of the Iwahori-Hecke algebra given by Ginzburg. Since a detailed proof is available for the case of the Iwahori-Hecke algebra (see [CG]), the present paper deals mainly with the parts of the proof which are different from the affine Hecke algebra one, more precisely Theorem 1 whose proof is inspired by [V] and Theorem 2 whose proof is inspired by [BLM].

The first section of the paper contains some background and some technical lemmas on the K-groups of various flag varieties. We give complete proofs since we were not able to find a proper reference. Similar results in homology may be found in [CG, Chapter 2]. The K-theoretic construction of the quantized enveloping algebra is done in Section two (Theorem 1 and Theorem 2). The simple and standard modules are studied in the third section. The reader should be warned that we give a geometric construction of the quantum group of type $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}(n)$ while [GV] deals with type $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(n)$.

1. The convolution algebra in equivariant K-theory.

1.0. Algebraic equivariant K-theory. Throughout the paper a *variety* means a complex quasi-projective algebraic variety and *sub-variety* means closed subset for the Zariski topology. Given a complex linear algebraic group G and a G -variety X , let $\text{Vect}^G(X)$ (resp. $\text{Coh}^G(X)$) denote the set of isomorphism classes of G -equivariant vector bundles (resp. G -equivariant coherent sheaves) on X . Let $K^G(X)$ be the complexified Grothendieck group of $\text{Coh}^G(X)$. The K -group has a natural $R(G)$ -module structure where $R(G)$ is the complexified representation ring of G . Given $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}^G(X)$ let $[\mathcal{F}]$ denote its class in $K^G(X)$. Recall a few properties of the equivariant K-theory (see [CG] for more details).

- (a) For any proper map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ between two G -varieties X and Y there is a derived direct image $Rf_* : K^G(X) \rightarrow K^G(Y)$. The map Rf_* is a group homomorphism.
- (b) If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is flat (for instance an open embedding) or is a closed embedding of a smooth G -variety, and Y is smooth, there is an inverse image $f^* : K^G(Y) \rightarrow K^G(X)$. The map f^* is a ring homomorphism for the product defined in subsection (g).

* The author is partially supported by EEC grant no. ERB FMRX-CT97-0100.

(c) Given G -varieties X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2 and a Cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & Y_1 \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow h \\ X_2 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & Y_2 \end{array}$$

such that f_1, f_2 are proper and g, h are flat we have $Rf_{1*}g^* = h^*Rf_{2*}$ (proper base change).

(d) Fix a smooth G -variety X and consider a smooth G -subvariety $i : Y \hookrightarrow X$. Let $T_Y^*X \in \text{Vect}^G(Y)$ be the conormal bundle of Y in X . Set

$$\Lambda(T_Y^*X) = \sum_i (-1)^i [\Lambda^i T_Y^*X] \in K^G(Y).$$

Then $i^* Ri_* : K^G(Y) \rightarrow K^G(X)$ is the product by $\Lambda(T_Y^*X)$.

(e) Given a G -equivariant vector bundle $\pi_E : E \rightarrow X$ with zero section $\sigma_E : X \hookrightarrow E$ over a smooth G -variety X , the pull-back map $\pi_E^* : K^G(X) \rightarrow K^G(E)$ is invertible (Thom Isomorphism Theorem) and $\sigma_E^* = \pi_E^*{}^{-1}$.

(f) Consider a smooth G -variety X . For any $g \in G$ the g -fixed point subvariety, denoted X^g , is smooth. Let $i : X^g \hookrightarrow X$ be the inclusion. Suppose moreover that G is Abelian. Then X^g is a G -variety. The complexified Grothendieck ring $R(G)$ is identified with the ring of regular functions on G and $K^G(X), K^G(X^g)$, can be viewed as sheaves over G . Then, the direct image Ri_* induces an isomorphism of the localized K-groups $K^G(X^g)_g \xrightarrow{\sim} K^G(X)_g$ and $\Lambda(T_{X^g}^*X)$ is invertible in $K^G(X^g)_g$. Moreover if X is complete and $p : X \rightarrow pt$ and $q : X^g \rightarrow pt$ are the (proper) projections, then for any sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}^G(X)$ the Lefschetz formula holds :

$$Rp_*[\mathcal{F}] = Rq_*\left(\Lambda(T_{X^g}^*X)^{-1} \otimes [\mathcal{F}]\right).$$

(g) If X is a smooth G -variety, there is a derived tensor product $\overset{L}{\otimes}$ making $K^G(X)$ a ring. Given $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2 \in \text{Coh}^G(X)$ the product is $[\mathcal{F}_1] \overset{L}{\otimes} [\mathcal{F}_2] = \delta^*[\mathcal{F}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_2]$, where $\boxtimes$ is the external tensor product and $\delta : X \hookrightarrow X \times X$ is the diagonal embedding.

(h) Given two G -subvarieties $Z_1, Z_2 \subseteq X$, the derived tensor product on X induces a map $K^G(Z_1) \otimes K^G(Z_2) \rightarrow K^G(Z_1 \cap Z_2)$. This map depends of the ambient smooth variety X . In particular,

Lemma 1. *Given two smooth G -subvarieties $Z_1, Z_2 \subset X$ with conormal bundles $T_{Z_1}^*X, T_{Z_2}^*X$, set $Z = Z_1 \cap Z_2$ and $N = T_{Z_1}^*X|_Z \cap T_{Z_2}^*X|_Z \in \text{Vect}^G(Z)$. If Z is smooth and $TZ_1|_Z \cap TZ_2|_Z = TZ$, then for any $\mathcal{F}_1 \in \text{Vect}^G(Z_1), \mathcal{F}_2 \in \text{Vect}^G(Z_2)$*

$$[\mathcal{F}_1] \overset{L}{\otimes} [\mathcal{F}_2] = \sum_i (-1)^i [\Lambda^i N \otimes \mathcal{F}_1|_Z \otimes \mathcal{F}_2|_Z] \in K^G(Z).$$

Proof. Consider the deformation to the normal bundle $T_Z X$ of Z in X : we get a flat family $M_Z X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ whose fiber over $z \in \mathbb{C}$ is $T_Z X$ if $z = 0$ and X else. In particular $M_Z Z_1$ and $M_Z Z_2$ can be viewed as closed subschemes in $M_Z X$. Thus, the intersection product can be computed on the special fiber (over $z = 0$). We are reduced to the following situation : X is a vector space with a linear G -action and Z_1, Z_2 are subspaces stable by the action of G . The result follows by using Koszul resolutions. $\square$

1.1. Composition of correspondences and convolution product. Let G be a linear complex algebraic group and M_1, M_2 and M_3 be smooth G -varieties. Let

$$q_{ij} : M_1 \times M_2 \times M_3 \rightarrow M_i \times M_j$$

be the projection along the factor not named. The G -action on each factor induces a natural G -action on the Cartesian product such that the projections q_{ij} are G -equivariant. Let $Z_{12} \subset M_1 \times M_2$ and $Z_{23} \subset M_2 \times M_3$ be G -stable closed subvarieties. Assume that the restriction of q_{13} to $q_{12}^{-1} Z_{12} \cap q_{23}^{-1} Z_{23}$ is proper and let $Z_{12} \circ Z_{23}$ be its image. The G -subvariety $Z_{12} \circ Z_{23}$ of $M_1 \times M_3$ is called the *composition* of Z_{12} and Z_{23} . Define a *convolution* map

$$\star : K^G(Z_{12}) \otimes K^G(Z_{23}) \rightarrow K^G(Z_{12} \circ Z_{23})$$

as follows. Let $\mathcal{F}_{12}, \mathcal{F}_{23}$ be two equivariant coherent sheaves respectively on Z_{12} and Z_{23} . Set

$$[\mathcal{F}_{12}] \star [\mathcal{F}_{23}] = Rq_{13*} \left(q_{12}^* [\mathcal{F}_{12}] \otimes^L q_{23}^* [\mathcal{F}_{23}] \right).$$

In this formula, the upper star stands for the pullback morphism, well-defined on smooth maps.

Let us now recall some technical results in intersection theory which will be used in the proof of surjectivity theorem (Theorem 2). Suppose that F_i is a smooth G -variety for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Let G act on $M_i = T^* F_i$ in such a way that the projection $\pi_i : M_i \rightarrow F_i$ is G -equivariant. Let

$$p_{ij} : F_1 \times F_2 \times F_3 \rightarrow F_i \times F_j$$

be the obvious projection. Fix a locally closed smooth G -subset $O_{ij} \subset F_i \times F_j$ for all $(ij) = (12), (23), (13)$. Denote by $Z_{ij} = T_{O_{ij}}^*(F_i \times F_j)$ the conormal bundle and let $\pi_{ij} : Z_{ij} \rightarrow O_{ij}$ be the projection. Set $Z = q_{12}^{-1} Z_{12} \cap q_{23}^{-1} Z_{23}$ and $O = p_{12}^{-1} O_{12} \cap p_{23}^{-1} O_{23}$. Suppose that

- (a) the map $q_{13}|_Z$ is proper,
- (b) the projections $O_{12} \rightarrow F_2$ and $O_{23} \rightarrow F_2$ are smooth fibrations,
- (c) the restriction $p = p_{13}|_O$ is a smooth and proper fibration over O_{13} .

As usual, Tp stands for the relative tangent sheaf along the fibers of p .

Proposition 2. *If the hypothesis (a-c) hold then, $Z_{12} \circ Z_{23} = Z_{13}$. If moreover the O_{ij} are closed, then $\forall \mathcal{F}_{12} \in \text{Vect}^G(O_{12}), \forall \mathcal{F}_{23} \in \text{Vect}^G(O_{23})$,*

$$\pi_{12}^*[\mathcal{F}_{12}] \star \pi_{23}^*[\mathcal{F}_{23}] = \sum_i (-1)^i \pi_{13}^* R p_* [\Lambda^i T p \otimes p_{12}^* \mathcal{F}_{12}|_O \otimes p_{23}^* \mathcal{F}_{23}|_O].$$

Proof. The property (a) implies that

$$Z \cap (F_1 \times T^* F_2 \times F_3) = (T_{O_{12}}^*(F_1 \times F_2) \times F_3) \cap (F_1 \times T_{O_{23}}^*(F_2 \times F_3)) = O,$$

where O is identified with its image via the zero section. Thus,

$$(d) \quad (T O_{12} \times T F_3) + (T F_1 \times T O_{23}) = T(F_1 \times F_2 \times F_3).$$

On the other hand, the hypothesis (b) implies that the projection $O \rightarrow F_2$ is a smooth fibration. Thus, $p_{12}^{-1} O_{12}$ and $p_{23}^{-1} O_{23}$ intersect transversally along O , i.e.

$$(e) \quad T O = (T O_{12} \times T F_3) \cap (T F_1 \times T O_{23}).$$

The conormal bundle to $q_{12}^{-1} Z_{12}$ in $M_1 \times M_2 \times M_3$, say N_{12} , is the pull back of the vector bundle

$$T O_{12} \oplus T_{O_{12}}^*(F_1 \times F_2)$$

by the obvious projection $q_{12}^{-1} Z_{12} \rightarrow O_{12}$. Let $\pi : Z \rightarrow O$ be the projection. The formulas (d) and (e) give

$$T p = (T O_{12} \times F_3) \cap (F_1 \times T O_{23}) \quad \text{and} \quad N_{12}|_Z \cap N_{23}|_Z = \pi^* T p.$$

Since the projections $O_{12} \rightarrow F_2$ and $O_{23} \rightarrow F_2$ are smooth fibrations, we get smooth fibrations

$$T_{O_{12}}^*(F_1 \times F_2) \subset (T^* F_1) \times F_2 \rightarrow F_2 \quad \text{and} \quad T_{O_{23}}^*(F_2 \times F_3) \subset F_2 \times (T^* F_3) \rightarrow F_2,$$

and Z is isomorphic to

$$Z \simeq T_{O_{12}}^*(F_1 \times F_2) \times_{F_2} T_{O_{23}}^*(F_2 \times F_3).$$

In particular, Z is smooth. Moreover, since $p_{12}^{-1} O_{12}$ and $p_{23}^{-1} O_{23}$ intersect transversally (see (e)), $q_{12}^{-1} Z_{12}$ and $q_{23}^{-1} Z_{23}$ intersect transversally also. Thus Lemma 1 implies that

$$q_{12}^* \pi_{12}^*[\mathcal{F}_{12}] \overset{L}{\otimes} q_{23}^* \pi_{23}^*[\mathcal{F}_{23}] = \sum_i (-1)^i \pi^* [\Lambda^i T p \otimes p_{12}^* \mathcal{F}_{12}|_O \otimes p_{23}^* \mathcal{F}_{23}|_O].$$

Now $O \simeq O_{12} \times_{F_2} O_{23}$ and thus

$$T_O^*(F_1 \times F_2 \times F_3) \simeq T_{O_{12}}^*(F_1 \times F_2) \times_{F_2} T_{O_{23}}^*(F_2 \times F_3) \simeq Z.$$

Since the map p is a smooth fibration,

$$q_{13}(T_O^*(F_1 \times F_2 \times F_3)) = T_{O_{13}}^*(F_1 \times F_3).$$

Thus $Z_{12} \circ Z_{23} = Z_{13}$. Finally consider the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} O & \xrightarrow{p} & O_{13} \\ \pi \uparrow & & \uparrow \pi_{13} \\ Z & \xrightarrow{q_{13}} & Z_{13}. \end{array}$$

Since the vertical maps are vector bundles and $q_{13}|_Z$ is proper and surjective, the square is Cartesian. The result follows by smooth base change. $\square$

As usual, for any locally-closed subset X let $\overline{X}$ be the Zariski closure and set $\partial X = \overline{X} \setminus X$. Set $O_T = O \cap p_{13}^{-1} O_{13}$.

Corollary 3. *Suppose in addition to (a-c) that*

(f) $O_{13} \subset O_{12} \circ O_{23}$ *is open,*

(g) $O_{13} \cap (\partial O_{12} \circ \overline{O}_{23}) = O_{13} \cap (\overline{O}_{12} \circ \partial O_{23}) = \emptyset$,

Then $Z_{13} \subset \overline{Z}_{12} \circ \overline{Z}_{23}$ is open and, for all $\mathcal{F}_{12} \in \text{Vect}^G(\overline{Z}_{12})$, $\mathcal{F}_{23} \in \text{Vect}^G(\overline{Z}_{23})$, the restriction of $[\mathcal{F}_{12}] \star [\mathcal{F}_{23}]$ to Z_{13} is

$$\sum_i (-1)^i \pi_{13}^* R p_* [\Lambda^i T p \otimes p_{12}^* (\mathcal{F}_{12}|_{O_{12}}) \otimes p_{23}^* (\mathcal{F}_{23}|_{O_{23}})].$$

Proof. Set $Z_T = Z \cap q_{13}^{-1} Z_{13}$. The hypothesis (g) implies that the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} q_{12}^{-1} \overline{Z}_{12} \cap q_{23}^{-1} \overline{Z}_{23} & \xrightarrow{q_{13}} & \overline{Z}_{12} \circ \overline{Z}_{23} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ Z_T & \xrightarrow{q_{13}} & Z_{13} \end{array}$$

is Cartesian. Moreover the vertical maps are open because of (f). Thus the restriction of $[\mathcal{F}_{12}] \star [\mathcal{F}_{23}]$ to Z_{13} is

$$R q_{13*} ((q_{12}^* [\mathcal{F}_{12}] \overset{L}{\otimes} q_{23}^* [\mathcal{F}_{23}])|_{Z_T}).$$

Then, apply Proposition 2. The square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} O_T & \xrightarrow{p} & O_{13} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \pi_{13} \\ Z_T & \xrightarrow{q_{13}} & Z_{13} \end{array}$$

is Cartesian (see the proof of Proposition 2) and we are done. $\square$

Corollary 4. *Suppose that $F_3 = pt$ and $O \subset F_1 \times F_2$ is a smooth G -subvariety with conormal bundle $Z_O \subset M_1 \times M_2$ such that*

- (h) the projection $Z_O \rightarrow M_1$ is proper,
(i) both projections $p_{1,O} : O \rightarrow F_1$ and $p_{2,O} : O \rightarrow F_2$ are smooth fibrations and $p_{1,O}$ is proper.

If $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Vect}^G(F_2)$ then,

$$[\mathcal{O}_{Z_O}] \star \pi_2^*[\mathcal{F}] = \sum_i (-1)^i \pi_1^* R p_{1,O*} [\Lambda^i T p_{1,O} \otimes p_{2,O}^* \mathcal{F}] \in K^G(M_1).$$

Proof. It suffices to apply Proposition 2 with $O_{12} = O$, $\mathcal{F}_{12} = \mathcal{O}_O$, $O_{23} = F_2$ and $\mathcal{F}_{23} = \mathcal{F}$ (see either [V, lemme 5]). $\square$

1.2. Partitions, matrices, and 3-arrays. For any positive integer n set $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Fix two integers $n, d \geq 1$. Let $\mathbf{V} \subset \mathbb{N}^n$ be the set of all compositions $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ of d into n non negative integers. Set $\mathbf{e}_i = (\delta_{1i}, \delta_{2i}, \dots, \delta_{ni})$, so that $\mathbf{v} = \sum_i v_i \mathbf{e}_i$. For $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$ let $[\mathbf{v}]_i \subset [d]$ be the i -th segment of the composition $\mathbf{v}$ and put $\bar{v}_i = v_1 + v_2 + \dots + v_i$. Thus $[\mathbf{v}]_i = [1 + \bar{v}_{i-1}, \bar{v}_i]$ and $[\mathbf{v}] = ([\mathbf{v}]_1, [\mathbf{v}]_2, \dots, [\mathbf{v}]_n)$ is a partition of $[d]$ into n subsets.

Let $\mathbf{M}$ be the set of $(n \times n)$ -matrices $A = (a_{ij})$ with non-negative integral entries such that $\sum_{i,j} a_{ij} = d$. For $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{V}$ we put

$$\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = \left\{ A \in \mathbf{M} \left| \sum_j a_{ij} = v_i, \sum_i a_{ij} = w_j \right. \right\},$$

so that $\mathbf{M} = \coprod_{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{V}} \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$. For any $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}$ we have a natural map

$$\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}} : S_d \longrightarrow \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$$

which identifies $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ with the double coset space $S_{\mathbf{v}} \backslash S_d / S_{\mathbf{w}}$. Namely, $\mathbf{m}$ assigns to a permutation $\sigma \in S_d$ the matrix $\mathbf{m}^\sigma$ such that

$$\mathbf{m}_{ij}^\sigma = \# \{ \alpha \in [\mathbf{v}]_i \mid \sigma(\alpha) \in [\mathbf{w}]_j \}.$$

We introduce a partial order $\preceq$ on $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ as follows. For $A = (a_{ij})$ and $B = (b_{ij})$ in $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ we say that $A \preceq B$, if for any $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ we have

$$\sum_{r \leq i; s \geq j} a_{rs} \leq \sum_{r \leq i; s \geq j} b_{rs}$$

and for any $1 \leq j < i \leq n$ we have

$$\sum_{r \geq i; s \leq j} a_{rs} \leq \sum_{r \geq i; s \leq j} b_{rs}.$$

Denote by $\leq$ the Bruhat order on S_d . The map $\mathbf{m} : (S_d, \leq) \rightarrow (\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}), \preceq)$ is monotone (see [BLM, Lemma 3.6]), i.e.

$$\sigma \leq \tau \Rightarrow \mathbf{m}^\sigma \preceq \mathbf{m}^\tau, \quad \forall \sigma, \tau \in S_d.$$

The order $\preceq$ on $\mathbf{M} = \coprod \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ is the disjoint union of the orders on the components $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$, i.e. elements of different components are set to be incomparable. To a matrix A we associate a partition $[A]$ of the set $[d]$ labelled by the set $[n] \times [n]$ as follows : to a pair (i, j) corresponds the segment

$$[A]_{ij} = \left[1 + \sum_{(h,k) < (i,j)} a_{hk}, \quad \sum_{(h,k) \leq (i,j)} a_{hk} \right],$$

where $\leq$ is the right lexicographic order defined by

$$(i, j) > (h, k) \Leftrightarrow (j > k \quad \text{or} \quad (j = k \quad \text{and} \quad i > h)).$$

similarly let A_1, A_2 be partitions of $[d]$ such that

$$A_{1i} = \bigcup_j [A]_{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad A_{2j} = \bigcup_i [A]_{ij}.$$

Let $\mathbf{T}$ be the set of 3-arrays $(t_{ijk})_{1 \leq i,j,k \leq n}$ of non-negative integers, such that $\sum_{i,j,k} t_{ijk} = d$. For any $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{V}$ put

$$\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = \left\{ T \in \mathbf{T} \mid \sum_{j,k} t_{ijk} = u_i, \quad \sum_{i,k} t_{ijk} = v_j, \quad \sum_{i,j} t_{ijk} = w_k \right\}.$$

If $1 \leq i \leq j \leq 3$ and $T \in \mathbf{T}$, let $T_{ij} \in \mathbf{M}$ be the matrix obtained by summing the entries of T with respect to the indices not named. Given two matrices $A \in \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{u})$ and $B \in \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ put

$$\mathbf{T}(A, B) = \{T \in \mathbf{T} \mid T_{12} = A, T_{23} = B\}, \quad \mathbf{M}(A, B) = \{T_{13} \mid T \in \mathbf{T}(A, B)\}.$$

The set $\mathbf{T}(A, B)$ is empty unless $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v}$.

For any partition $I = (I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n)$ of the set $[d]$ into n subsets let

$$S_I = S_{I_1} \times S_{I_2} \times \dots \times S_{I_n}$$

be the subgroup of S_d consisting of permutations which preserve each subset. In particular, if $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$ and $A \in \mathbf{M}$ put $S_{\mathbf{v}} = S_{[\mathbf{v}]}$ and $S_A = S_{[A]}$.

1.3. The Flag manifold. Fix $d, n \geq 1$. The complex linear group of rank d is denoted by GL_d . Let $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_d)$ be the canonical basis of $\mathbb{C}^d$. Let F be the variety of n -step partial flags in $\mathbb{C}^d$, i.e. filtrations of vector spaces

$$D = \{0 = D_0 \subseteq D_1 \subseteq D_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq D_n = \mathbb{C}^d\}.$$

The connected components of F are parametrized by compositions $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ of d : the component $F_{\mathbf{v}}$ consists of flags D such that $\dim D_i/D_{i-1} = v_i$. For any composition let $D_{\mathbf{v}} \in F_{\mathbf{v}}$ be the flag such that

$$D_{\mathbf{v},i} = \bigoplus_{j \in [1, \overline{v}_i]} \mathbb{C} e_j.$$

Denote by $P_{\mathbf{v}}$ the isotropy subgroup of $D_{\mathbf{v}}$ in GL_d . The variety $F_{\mathbf{v}}$ is thus identified with the quotient $GL_d/P_{\mathbf{v}}$. Consider the diagonal action of GL_d on $F \times F$ and $F \times F \times F$. The following result is essentially stated in [BLM, Lemma 3.7].

Proposition 5. (a) *Given two partitions $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{V}$, the orbits of GL_d in $F_{\mathbf{v}} \times F_{\mathbf{w}}$ are parametrized by matrices $A \in \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$: the orbit O_A corresponding to A consists of pairs of flags (D, D') such that $\dim(D_i \cap D'_j) = \sum_{h \leq i, k \leq j} a_{hk}$. The closure of O_A contains O_B if and only if $B \leq A$ with respect to the Bruhat order on $\mathbf{M}$.*
(b) *For any $A, B \in \mathbf{M}$ the set $p_{13}(p_{12}^{-1} O_A \cap p_{23}^{-1} O_B) \subset F^2$ is stable with respect to the diagonal GL_d action and*

$$p_{13}(p_{12}^{-1} O_A \cap p_{23}^{-1} O_B) = \bigcup_{C \in \mathbf{M}(A, B)} O_C.$$

(c) *Moreover, if $A, B \in \mathbf{M}$ there exists a unique $A \circ B \in \mathbf{M}(A, B)$ such that $\mathbf{M}(A, B) \subset \{C \mid C \leq A \circ B\}$.*

(d) *More precisely, given $A, A', B, B' \in \mathbf{M}$ such that $A' \leq A$ and $B' \leq B$,*

$$(A', B') \neq (A, B) \Rightarrow \mathbf{M}(A', B') \subset \{C \mid C < A \circ B\}.$$

Proof. Claims (a) and (b) are immediate. As for the part (c) it suffices to prove that $p_{13}(p_{12}^{-1} O_A \cap p_{23}^{-1} O_B)$ is irreducible. But $p_{12} : p_{12}^{-1} O_A \cap p_{23}^{-1} O_B \rightarrow O_A$ is a fibration with an irreducible base and fiber. Thus $p_{12}^{-1} O_A \cap p_{23}^{-1} O_B$ is irreducible and so is its image under p_{13} . Part (d) follows easily. $\square$

For any $i = 1, 2, 3$ let $p_i : F^2 \rightarrow F$ be the projections along the component not named. For any A let $p_{i,A}$ be the restriction of p_i to O_A . This map is a smooth fibration but is not proper in general. Given a positive integer $a < d$ and a partition $\mathbf{v}$ of $d - a$ into n summands and $i \neq j$ set

$$E_{ij}(\mathbf{v}, a) = \text{diag}(\mathbf{v}) + a \cdot E_{ij} \in \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{v} + a \cdot \mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{v} + a \cdot \mathbf{e}_j)$$

where 'diag' stands for the diagonal matrix with the prescribed eigenvalues and E_{ij} is the standard $n \times n$ -matrix unit (1 at the spot (i, j) and 0 elsewhere). If $j = i \pm 1$ the corresponding GL_d -orbits in F^2 are closed and have the following description

$$O_{E_{i \pm 1}(\mathbf{v}, a)} = \{(D, D') \in F^2 \mid D'_k \subseteq D_k \text{ and } \dim(D_k/D'_k) = a \delta_{ki}\},$$

$$O_{E_{i+1}i}(\mathbf{v}, a) = \{(D, D') \in F^2 \mid D_k \subseteq D'_k \text{ and } \dim(D'_k/D_k) = a \delta_{ki}\}.$$

Thus both projections

$$F_{\mathbf{v}+a \cdot \mathbf{e}_i} \leftarrow O_{E_{i+1}i}(\mathbf{v}, a) \rightarrow F_{\mathbf{v}+a \cdot \mathbf{e}_{i+1}}$$

are proper with fibers isomorphic respectively to the Grassmannians $\mathbb{G}r_a(a + v_i)$ and $\mathbb{G}r_a(a + v_{i+1})$.

Given a 3-array $T \in \mathbf{T}$, put

$$O_T = p_{12}^{-1} O_{T_{12}} \cap p_{23}^{-1} O_{T_{23}} \cap p_{13}^{-1} O_{T_{13}} \subset F^3.$$

The set O_T is a GL_d -variety and may contain several GL_d -orbits in general. For any $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$ let $p_{ij} : F^3 \rightarrow F^2$ be the projection along the component not named and let $p_{ij,T}$ denote the restriction of p_{ij} to $O_T \subset F^3$.

Set $\mathbf{R} = \mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 1}, x_2^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_d^{\pm 1}]$, and let $\mathbb{C}^{\times(d)} = (\mathbb{C}^\times)^d / S_d$ denote the d -fold symmetric product of $\mathbb{C}^\times$. For any partition I of the set $[d]$ put

$$\mathbb{C}^{\times(I)} = (\mathbb{C}^\times)^d / S_I.$$

The rings $\mathbf{R}^{(d)} = \mathbf{R}^{S_d}$ and $\mathbf{R}^{(I)} = \mathbf{R}^{S_I}$ may (and will) naturally be identified with the rings of regular functions on $\mathbb{C}^{\times(d)}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{\times(I)}$. In order to simplify notation, for $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$ and $A \in \mathbf{M}$ set

$$\mathbb{C}^{\times(\mathbf{v})} = \mathbb{C}^{\times([\mathbf{v}])}, \quad \mathbb{C}^{\times(A)} = \mathbb{C}^{\times([A])},$$

$$\mathbf{R}^{(\mathbf{v})} = \mathbf{R}^{([\mathbf{v}])}, \quad \mathbf{R}^{(A)} = \mathbf{R}^{([A])}.$$

If J is another partition of $[d]$ consider the symmetrizer $\mathfrak{S}_I^J$ and the projection ρ_I^J such that

$$\mathfrak{S}_I^J : \mathbf{R}^{S_I \cap S_J} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{(J)}, \quad f \mapsto \sum_{\sigma \in S_J / S_I \cap S_J} \sigma(f),$$

$$\rho_I^J : (\mathbb{C}^\times)^d / S_I \cap S_J \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times(J)}.$$

The pull-back map $\rho_I^{J*} : \mathbf{R}^{(J)} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{S_I \cap S_J}$ is the inclusion of rings. As before, if $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{V}$ we write $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathbf{w}}$ and $\rho_{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathbf{w}}$ instead of $\mathfrak{S}_{[\mathbf{v}]}^{[\mathbf{w}]}$ and $\rho_{[\mathbf{v}]}^{[\mathbf{w}]}$.

Proposition 6. Fix $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \in \mathbf{V}$, $A \in \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2)$ and $i = 1, 2$.

(a) $K^{GL_d}(F_{\mathbf{v}}) \simeq \mathbf{R}^{(\mathbf{v})}$ and $K^{GL_d}(O_A) \simeq \mathbf{R}^{(A)}$ as $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras.

(b) The map $p_{i,A} : O_A \rightarrow F_{\mathbf{v}_i}$ is a smooth fibration. The inverse image morphism $p_{i,A}^*$ in equivariant K -theory is identified with the pull-back map $\rho_A^{A_i*} : \mathbf{R}^{(A_i)} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{(A)}$. If O_A is closed then the direct image morphism $Rp_{i,A*}$ is well defined and

$$Rp_{i,A*}(f) = \mathfrak{S}_A^{A_i} \left(f \prod_{(s,t)} (1 - x_s/x_t)^{-1} \right),$$

where the product ranges over all couples

$$(s, t) \in [A]_{jl} \times [A]_{jk}, \quad \text{if } i = 1,$$

$$(s, t) \in [A]_{lj} \times [A]_{kj}, \quad \text{if } i = 2,$$

where $1 \leq k < l \leq n$, $j \in [n]$.

Proof. Fix $A \in \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$. Let $L_i \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ (resp. $C_j \subset \mathbb{C}^d$) be the subspace generated by the ϵ_t such that $t \in \bigcup_k [A]_{ik}$ (resp. $t \in \bigcup_k [A]_{kj}$). Consider the flags $D \in F_{\mathbf{v}}$ and $D' \in F_{\mathbf{w}}$ such that

$$D_i = L_1 \oplus L_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_i \quad \text{and} \quad D'_j = C_1 \oplus C_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus C_j.$$

By definition O_A is the orbit of the pair (D, D') for the diagonal action of GL_d on $F_{\mathbf{v}} \times F_{\mathbf{w}}$. Let P_D and $P_{D'}$ be the isotropy groups of D and D' . The induction property in equivariant K -theory gives an isomorphism of the ring $K^{GL_d}(O_A)$ with the complexified representations ring of the group $P_D \cap P_{D'}$. The groups $\prod_i GL(L_i)$ and $\prod_j GL(C_j)$ are isomorphic to Levi subgroups of P_D and $P_{D'}$. Moreover $\prod_{i,j} GL(L_i \cap C_j)$ is isomorphic to the reductive part of $P_D \cap P_{D'}$. Part (a) follows. By definition of induction, the map

$$R(P_D) \xrightarrow{\sim} K^{GL_d}(F_{\mathbf{v}}) \xrightarrow{p_{1,A}^*} K^{GL_d}(O_A) \xrightarrow{\sim} R(P_D \cap P_{D'})$$

is the restriction map, i.e. the pull-back by $\rho_A^{A_1}$. As for the direct image fix, for instance, $i = 1$ and suppose that O_A is closed. The fibers of the projection $p_{1,A} : O_A \rightarrow F_{\mathbf{v}}$ are isomorphic to the product of partial flag manifolds

$$\prod_{i=1}^n F_{(a_{i1}, a_{i2}, \dots, a_{in})}.$$

Thus the class $T_A^* \in K^{GL_d}(O_A)$ of the relative cotangent bundle to the fibers of $p_{1,A}$ is

$$T_A^* = \sum_{\substack{k \leq l \\ j}} \sum_{s \in [A]_{jl}} \sum_{t \in [A]_{jk}} x_s^{-1} \cdot x_t,$$

where the k -th elementary symmetric polynomial in the x_s , $s \in [A]_{ij}$, stands for the class in $K^{GL_d}(O_A)$ of the vector bundle whose fiber at $(D, D') \in O_A$ is

$$\bigwedge^k \left(\frac{D_i \cap D'_j}{(D_{i-1} \cap D'_j) + (D_i \cap D'_{j-1})} \right).$$

Set $\Lambda T_A^* = \sum_i (-1)^i \Lambda^i T_A^*$, where $\Lambda^i T_A^*$ is the i -th wedge of T_A^* . Then, the direct image by $p_{1,A}$ is the map

$$K^{GL_d}(O_A) \longrightarrow K^{GL_d}(F_{A_1}), \quad [\mathcal{F}] \mapsto \mathfrak{S}_A^{A_1} \left([\mathcal{F}] \otimes \Lambda(T_A^*)^{-1} \right)$$

(see [V, Lemme 4]). Part (b) follows. $\square$

1.4. The Steinberg variety and the convolution algebra. Fix a formal variable q and set $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{C}[q, q^{-1}]$, $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}(q)$. For any complex vector space V set $V_{\mathbb{A}} = V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{A}$ and $V_{\mathbb{K}} = V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{K}$. Similarly, if V is a $\mathbb{A}$ -module put $V_{\mathbb{K}} = V \otimes_{\mathbb{A}} \mathbb{K}$. Set $G = GL_d \times \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ and use the notations of the previous sections. In particular $F = \coprod_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}} F_{\mathbf{v}}$ is the n -step flag variety. For any $A \in \mathbf{M}$ let $Z_A = T_{O_A}^*(F \times F) \subset T^*F^2$ be the conormal bundle to O_A . Set

$$Z_{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}} = \bigcup_{A \in \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})} \overline{Z}_A \subset T^*(F_{\mathbf{v}} \times F_{\mathbf{w}}) \quad \text{and} \quad Z = \bigcup_{A \in \mathbf{M}} \overline{Z}_A \subset T^*F^2.$$

Using the well-known isomorphism $T^*F = \{(D, x) \in F \times \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^d) \mid x(D_{i+1}) \subseteq D_i\}$ the set Z is identified with the following closed subvariety of T^*F^2

$$Z = \{(D, D', x, x') \in T^*F^2 \mid x = x'\}.$$

The variety Z is called the Steinberg variety. It is a reducible variety whose irreducible components are the $\overline{Z}_A$, $A \in \mathbf{M}$. Let q_{12} , q_{23} and q_{13} be the projections $T^*F^3 \rightarrow T^*F^2$ along the component not named. It is known that Z satisfies the following two properties

- the restriction of the projection q_{13} to $q_{12}^{-1}Z \cap q_{23}^{-1}Z$ is proper,
- the composition $Z \circ Z = q_{13}(q_{12}^{-1}Z \cap q_{23}^{-1}Z)$ is equal to Z .

The first property is obvious, the second one follows from Proposition 2 (see also [CG, Chapter 6]). The group G acts naturally on Z : the linear group GL_d acts diagonally and $z \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ acts by scalar multiplication by z^{-2} along the fibers. The convolution product

$$\star : K^G(Z) \otimes K^G(Z) \rightarrow K^G(Z)$$

endows $K^G(Z)$ with the structure of an associative $\mathbb{A}$ -algebra. For any $A \in \mathbf{M}$ set $Z_{\leq A} = \bigcup_{B \leq A} Z_B$. The natural maps $K^G(Z_{\leq A}) \rightarrow K^G(Z)$ induced by the closed embeddings $Z_{\leq A} \hookrightarrow Z$ are injective and their images form a filtration on the algebra $K^G(Z)$ indexed by $\mathbf{M}$. Proposition 5 implies that $Z_{\leq A} \circ Z_{\leq B} \subset Z_{\leq A \circ B}$. Thus, $K^G(Z_{\leq A}) \star K^G(Z_{\leq B}) \subset K^G(Z_{\leq A \circ B})$. On the other hand, the open immersion $Z_A \hookrightarrow Z_{\leq A}$ gives rise to a restriction map $K^G(Z_{\leq A}) \rightarrow K^G(Z_A)$ and to a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K^G(Z_{< A}) \rightarrow K^G(Z_{\leq A}) \rightarrow K^G(Z_A) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $Z_{< A}$ stands for $\bigcup_{B < A} Z_B$ (see [CG, 5.5]). The chain of maps

$$K^G(Z_{\leq A}) \twoheadrightarrow K^G(Z_{\leq A})/K^G(Z_{< A}) \xrightarrow{\sim} K^G(Z_A) \xrightarrow{\sim} K^G(O_A) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{A}}^{(A)}$$

provides an identification of the associated graded of $K^G(Z)$ with the $\mathbb{A}$ -module $\bigoplus_{A \in \mathbf{M}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{A}}^{(A)}$. Since by Proposition 5 we have $Z_{\leq A'} \circ Z_{\leq B'} \subset Z_{\leq A \circ B}$ if $A' \leq A$, $B' \leq B$ and $(A', B') \neq (A, B)$, the convolution product induces a product still denoted $\star$ on $\bigoplus_{A \in \mathbf{M}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{A}}^{(A)}$ such that

$$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{A}}^{(A)} \star \mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{A}}^{(B)} \subset \mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{A}}^{(A \circ B)}.$$

Example. Given two positive integers $a, b < d$, and a partition $\mathbf{v}$ of $d - a - b$ put $A = E_{i+1}(\mathbf{v} + b\mathbf{e}_i, a)$ and $B = E_{i+1}(\mathbf{v} + a\mathbf{e}_{i+1}, b)$ (see Section 1.3). The orbits O_A and O_B are closed. The set $\mathbf{T}(A, B)$ reduces to a single element, T , and $A \circ B = E_{i+1}(\mathbf{v}, a + b)$. For simplicity take $n = 2, i = 1$. Then $d = a + b + v_1 + v_2$,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 + b & a \\ 0 & v_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & b \\ 0 & v_2 + a \end{pmatrix}, \quad A \circ B = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & a + b \\ 0 & v_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

and the 3-array T is defined by

$$t_{121} = t_{212} = t_{211} = t_{221} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad t_{122} = a, t_{112} = b, t_{111} = v_1, t_{222} = v_2.$$

Set $I_1 = [1, v_1]$, $I_2 = [1 + v_1, b + v_1]$, $I_3 = [1 + b + v_1, d - v_2]$ and $I_4 = [1 + d - v_2, d]$. Thus,

$$S_A = S_{I_1 \cup I_2} \times S_{I_3} \times S_{I_4}, \quad S_B = S_{I_1} \times S_{I_2} \times S_{I_3 \cup I_4}, \quad S_{A \circ B} = S_{I_1} \times S_{I_2 \cup I_3} \times S_{I_4}.$$

The restriction of p_{13} to $O_T = p_{12}^{-1} O_A \cap p_{23}^{-1} O_B$ is a smooth and proper fibration whose fiber over $(D, D'') \in O_{A \circ B}$ is

$$\{D' \in F \mid D_k \subseteq D'_k \subseteq D''_k \quad \text{and} \quad \dim(D'_k/D_k) = a\delta_{ki}, \quad \forall k\} \simeq \mathbb{G}r_a(a + b).$$

Note that $q_{12}^{-1} Z_A$ and $q_{23}^{-1} Z_B$ are not in general position in T^*F^3 . Proposition 5 insures that we are in the situation of Corollary 3. Using the isomorphism above the convolution product $\star : K^G(Z_A) \otimes K^G(Z_B) \rightarrow K^G(Z_{A \circ B})$ is identified with the map

$$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{A}}^{(A)} \otimes \mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{A}}^{(B)} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{A}}^{(A \circ B)}, \quad f \otimes g \mapsto \mathfrak{S}_{I_2 \times I_3}^{I_2 \cup I_3} \left(fg \cdot \prod_{i \in I_2} \prod_{j \in I_3} \frac{1 - q^2 x_j / x_i}{1 - x_i / x_j} \right)$$

(see Proposition 6 and Corollary 3). Now if $f \in \mathbf{R}^{(I_2 \cup I_3)}$ then

$$\mathfrak{S}_{I_2 \times I_3}^{I_2 \cup I_3} \left(f \cdot \prod_{i \in I_2} \prod_{j \in I_3} \frac{q^2 x_j - x_i}{x_j - x_i} \right) = f \cdot \mathfrak{S}_{I_2 \times I_3}^{I_2 \cup I_3} \left(\prod_{i \in I_2} \prod_{j \in I_3} \frac{q^2 x_j - x_i}{x_j - x_i} \right) = P(q) \cdot f$$

where $P(q)$ is a polynomial in q which can be computed explicitly :

$$P(q) = q^{ab} \frac{[a + b]!}{[a]! [b]!} \quad \text{with} \quad [k]! = [k] [k - 1] \cdots [1], \quad [k] = \frac{q^k - q^{-k}}{q - q^{-1}} \quad \forall k.$$

Observe that the zeros of P are roots of unity. Since

$$\prod_{i \in I_2} \prod_{j \in I_3} \frac{q^2 x_j - x_i}{x_j - x_i} = \prod_{i \in I_2} \prod_{j \in I_3} (-x_i / x_j) \frac{1 - q^2 x_j / x_i}{1 - x_i / x_j},$$

and $\prod_{i \in I_2} x_i \in \mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{A}}^{(B)}$, $\prod_{j \in I_3} x_j \in \mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{A}}^{(A)}$ are invertible, the map $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{K}}^{(A)} \otimes \mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{K}}^{(B)} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{K}}^{(A \circ B)}$ is surjective, and remains surjective after specialization of q to a non zero complex number distinct from a root of unity.

Proposition 7. Fix a partition $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$ and suppose that $A = \text{diag}(\mathbf{v})$ is a diagonal matrix. Then $A \circ B = B$ and, given $f \in \mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{A}}^{(A)}$, $g \in \mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{A}}^{(B)}$, we have

$$f \star g = f g,$$

where f is identified with an element of $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{A}}^{(B)}$ via the map $\rho_B^{B_1}$.

Proof. The projection p_{13} restricts to an isomorphism $p_{12}^{-1} O_A \cap p_{23}^{-1} O_B \rightarrow O_B$. Thus $A \circ B = B$ and $\mathbf{T}(A, B) = \{T\}$ where T is the array such that $t_{ijk} = \delta_{ij} b_{jk}$. The proposition follows from Propositions 5 and 6, and Corollary 3. $\square$

Proposition 8. Fix $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$, $B \in \mathbf{M}$ and $A = E_{h,h+1}(\mathbf{v}, a)$. Put $l = \max\{i \mid b_{h+1,i} \neq 0\}$ and suppose, in addition, that $b_{h+1,l} \geq a$ and $\sum_j b_{ij} = \sum_j a_{ji}$.
(a) Then $A \circ B = B + a(E_{hl} - E_{h+1,l}) = T_{13}$ where $T = (t_{ijk})$ is the 3-array in $\mathbf{T}(A, B)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} t_{iik} = b_{ik} - \delta_{ih+1} \delta_{kl} a, \\ t_{h,h+1,k} = \delta_{kl} a, \\ t_{ijk} = 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{otherwise.}$$

- (b) If $b_{hl} = 0$ then the projection $p_{13,T}$ is an isomorphism $O_T \xrightarrow{\sim} O_{A \circ B}$. Moreover,
- $S_{A \circ B} \subset S_B$ is the subgroup formed by the w 's which preserve the sets $[A \circ B]_{h+1,l}$ and $[A \circ B]_{h,l}$,
 - $w \cdot S_{A \circ B} \cdot w^{-1} \subset S_A$ if $w \in S_d$ is such that for all $i \neq h$ the following holds

$$w([A]_{hh}) = \bigcup_{j \neq l} [A \circ B]_{hj}, \quad w([A]_{h,h+1}) = [A \circ B]_{hl}, \quad w([A]_{ij}) = \bigcup_j [A \circ B]_{ij}.$$

Then, $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{A}}^{(A)}$ and $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{A}}^{(B)}$ may be viewed as subrings of $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{A}}^{(A \circ B)}$ and, if $f \in \mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{A}}^{(A)}$, $g \in \mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{A}}^{(B)}$, then

$$f \star g = f g \in \mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{A}}^{(A \circ B)}.$$

(c) If $A - a_{h,h-1} \cdot E_{h,h-1}$ is diagonal, $l = \min\{i \mid b_{h-1,i} \neq 0\}$ and $b_{h-1,l} \geq a_{h,h-1}$, then we have similar formulas with $A \circ B = B + a_{h,h-1}(E_{hl} - E_{h-1,l})$.

Lemma 9. Let $A = E_{h,h+1}(\mathbf{v}, a)$. For any matrix B such that $\sum_j b_{ij} = \sum_j a_{ji}$, the set $\mathbf{T}(A, B)$ is in bijective correspondence with the following set of n -tuples

$$\mathbf{S}(A, B) = \{s = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) \mid 0 \leq s_k \leq b_{h+1,k}, \sum_k s_k = a\}$$

To an n -tuple $s \in \mathbf{S}(A, B)$ one assigns the following array $T(s) = (t_{ijk})$

$$\begin{cases} t_{iik} = b_{ik} & \text{if } i \neq h+1, \\ t_{h+1,h+1,k} = b_{h+1,k} - s_k, \\ t_{h,h+1,k} = s_k, \\ t_{ijk} = 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{otherwise.}$$

Moreover $T(s)_{13} = B + \sum_j s_j \cdot (E_{hj} - E_{h+1,j})$.

Proof of the lemma. An array $T = (t_{ijk})$ belongs to $\mathbf{T}(A, B)$ if and only if

$$\sum_k t_{ijk} = a_{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_i t_{ijk} = b_{jk}.$$

In particular,

$$\begin{cases} t_{ijk} = 0 & \text{if } (i, j) \neq (i, i), (h, h+1), \\ t_{jjk} = b_{jk} & \text{if } j \neq h+1, \\ t_{h,h+1,k} + t_{h+1,h+1,k} = b_{h+1,k}. \end{cases}$$

Thus $T \in \mathbf{T}(A, B)$ if and only if

$$\begin{cases} t_{ijk} = 0 & \text{if } (i, j) \neq (i, i), (h, h+1), \\ t_{jjk} = b_{jk} & \text{if } j \neq h+1, \\ t_{h+1,h+1,k} = b_{h+1,k} - t_{h,h+1,k}, \\ t_{h,h+1,k} \leq b_{h+1,k}, \\ \sum_k t_{h,h+1,k} = a_{h,h+1}, \\ \sum_k t_{h+1,h+1,k} = a_{h+1,h+1}, \\ \sum_k b_{jk} = a_{jj} & \text{if } j \neq h+1. \end{cases}$$

The last two equations follow directly from $\sum_j b_{ij} = \sum_j a_{ji}$. The conditions above mean that there exists an n -tuple $s \in \mathbf{S}(A, B)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} t_{iik} = b_{ik} & \text{if } i \neq h+1, \\ t_{h+1,h+1,k} = b_{h+1,k} - s_k, \\ t_{h,h+1,k} = s_k, \\ t_{ijk} = 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

□

Proof of the proposition. For $s \in \mathbf{S}(A, B)$ we put $C(s) = T(s)_{13}$. Observe that, if $s, s' \in \mathbf{S}(A, B)$ then we have (see Section 1.2)

$$C(s') \preceq C(s) \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \sum_{r \geq j} s'_r \leq \sum_{r \geq j} s_r & \forall j > h, \\ \sum_{r \leq j} s'_r \geq \sum_{r \leq j} s_r & \forall j \leq h. \end{cases}$$

Thus, since $\sum_k s'_k = \sum_k s_k (= a)$,

$$C(s') \preceq C(s) \Leftrightarrow \forall j, \quad \sum_{r \geq j} s'_r \leq \sum_{r \geq j} s_r.$$

Observe on the other hand that $b_{h+1,k} = 0$ if $k > l$. Hence $s_k = 0$ if $k > l$, for any $s \in \mathbf{S}(A, B)$. Since $b_{h+1,l} \geq a$, the set $\{C(s) \mid s \in \mathbf{S}(A, B)\}$ has a greatest element with

respect to $\preceq$: it is labelled by the n -tuple $s = a \cdot \mathbf{e}_l \in \mathbf{S}(A, B)$. Since the map $\mathbf{m}$ is monotone (see Section 1.2), it follows that $A \circ B = C(a \cdot \mathbf{e}_l)$. Then, the formula in Lemma 9 yields

$$A \circ B = B + a(E_{hl} - E_{h+1,l})$$

and the corresponding array is the array T defined in Proposition 8 (a). We obtain

$$O_T = \{(D, D', D'') \in p_{23}^{-1} O_B \mid D'_i \subseteq D_i \text{ and } \dim(D_i \cap D''_j) = \dim(D'_i \cap D''_j) + \delta_{hi} \delta_{j \geq l} a\}.$$

For any $(D, D'') \in O_{A \circ B}$,

$$p_{13,T}^{-1}(D, D'') = \{V \mid D_{h-1} \subset V \subset D_h \text{ and } \dim(D_h \cap D''_j) = \dim(V \cap D''_j) + a \delta_{j \geq l}\}.$$

Thus, the fiber is isomorphic to the Grassmannian of codimension a subspaces in the $(a + b_{hl})$ -dimensional vector space

$$\frac{D_h \cap D''_l}{(D_{h-1} \cap D''_l) + (D_h \cap D''_{l-1})}.$$

In particular, if $b_{hl} = 0$ the projection $p_{13,T}$ induces an isomorphism $O_T \xrightarrow{\sim} O_{A \circ B}$. The maps

$$O_{A \circ B} \xrightarrow{\sim} O_T \longrightarrow O_A \text{ and } O_{A \circ B} \xrightarrow{\sim} O_T \longrightarrow O_B$$

are GL_d -equivariant maps between GL_d -orbits. The corresponding pull-back morphisms in equivariant K -theory coincide, via the induction, with the restriction of representations of the isotropy subgroups. Then apply Corollary 3. $\square$

1.5. Generators of the convolution algebra. We use the notations introduced in the previous sections. Recall that if $A \in \mathbf{M}$ is diagonal or is of type $E_{i \pm 1}(\mathbf{v}, a)$ then the GL_d -orbit O_A is closed in F^2 .

Proposition 10. *The convolution $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra $(K^G(Z)_{\mathbb{K}}, \star)$ is generated by the classes of sheaves supported on the irreducible components $\overline{Z}_A$ such that $A \in \mathbf{M}$ is a diagonal matrix or a matrix of type $E_{i \pm 1}(\mathbf{v}, 1)$.*

Proof. Fix a matrix $C \in \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$. The proof goes by induction on

$$l(C) = \sum_{i \neq j} \binom{|i - j| + 1}{2} c_{ij}.$$

If $l(C) = 0$ or 1 the matrix C is of the prescribed type. Suppose that $l(C) > 1$. Then C is not diagonal. Suppose for instance that C is not a lower-triangular matrix. Put

$$(h, l) = \max\{(i, j) \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n, \quad c_{ij} \neq 0\},$$

with respect to the right-lexicographic order (see Section 1.1). Put

$$B = C + c_{hl}(E_{h+1,l} - E_{hl}) \text{ and } A = E_{h,h+1}(\mathbf{v} - c_{hl} \cdot \mathbf{e}_h, c_{hl}).$$

Then $l = \max\{i \mid b_{h+1,i} \neq 0\}$ and $b_{h+1,l} = c_{hl} + c_{h+1,l} \geq c_{hl} = a_{h,h+1}$. Moreover,

$$\sum_j a_{ji} = \sum_j c_{ij} - \delta_{ih} c_{hl} + \delta_{i,h+1} c_{hl} = \sum_j b_{ij}$$

and $b_{hl} = 0$. Thus we are in the situation of Proposition 8 (b). In particular, $A \circ B = C$. Given $f \in \mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{A}}^{(A)}$ and $g \in \mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{A}}^{(B)}$ we get

$$f \star g = fg \in \mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{A}}^{(C)},$$

modulo the identifications described in Proposition 8 (b). Thus the surjectivity of the map $\star : \mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{A}}^{(A)} \otimes \mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{A}}^{(B)} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{A}}^{(C)}$ follows from the surjectivity of the map

$$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{A}}^{(a_{h,h+1})} \otimes \mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{A}}^{(b_{h+1,l})} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{A}}^{(c_{hl})} \otimes \mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{A}}^{(c_{h+1,l})}, \quad f \otimes g \mapsto i(f)j(g),$$

where i is the obvious isomorphism $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{A}}^{(a_{h,h+1})} \simeq \mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{A}}^{(c_{hl})}$ and j is the inclusion $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{A}}^{(b_{h+1,l})} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{A}}^{(c_{hl})} \otimes \mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{A}}^{(c_{h+1,l})}$ (for any partition $[d] = I_1 \cup I_2$ the algebra $\mathbf{R}^{(I_1, I_2)}$ is generated by $\mathbf{R}^{(d)}$ and $\mathbf{R}^{(I_1)}$). On the other hand $l(B) < l(C)$. To complete the proof it suffices to remark that any sheaf supported on $Z_{E_{i \pm 1}(\mathbf{v}, a)}$ can be obtain by the convolution product of a sheaves supported respectively on

$$Z_{E_{i \pm 1}(\mathbf{v} + k\mathbf{e}_{i \pm 1}, 1)}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, a-1,$$

using the computations done in example 1.4. □

2. The polynomial representation and the convolution algebra.

Fix $n, d \geq 1$.

2.1. The Drinfeld new presentation. The affine quantum group of type $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ with trivial central charge is a unital associative algebra over $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}(q)$ with generators

$$\mathbf{E}_{i,k}, \quad \mathbf{F}_{i,k}, \quad \mathbf{K}_{j,l}, \quad \mathbf{K}_j^{\pm 1}, \quad i \in [n-1], \quad j \in [n], \quad k, l \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad l \neq 0.$$

The relations are expressed in terms of the formal series

$$\mathbf{E}_i(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{E}_{i,k} \cdot z^{-k}, \quad \mathbf{F}_i(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{F}_{i,k} \cdot z^{-k}, \quad \mathbf{K}_i^{\pm}(z) = \mathbf{K}_i^{\pm 1} + \sum_{l > 0} \mathbf{K}_{i, \pm l} \cdot z^{\mp l},$$

as follows

$$(a) \quad \mathbf{K}_i^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{K}_i = \mathbf{K}_i \cdot \mathbf{K}_i^{-1} = 1, \quad [\mathbf{K}_i^{\pm}(z), \mathbf{K}_j^{\pm}(w)] = [\mathbf{K}_i^{\pm}(z), \mathbf{K}_i^{\mp}(w)] = 0,$$

$$(b) \quad \theta_1(q^{-1}z/w) \cdot \mathbf{K}_i^\pm(z) \cdot \mathbf{K}_j^\mp(w) = \theta_1(q^{-1}z/w) \cdot \mathbf{K}_j^\mp(w) \cdot \mathbf{K}_i^\pm(z), \quad \text{if } i < j$$

$$(c) \quad \mathbf{K}_j^\pm(z) \cdot \mathbf{E}_i(w) = \theta_{c_{ij}}(q^{c_{ij}}z/w) \cdot \mathbf{E}_j(w) \cdot \mathbf{K}_i^\pm(z),$$

$$(d) \quad \mathbf{K}_j^\pm(z) \cdot \mathbf{F}_i(w) = \theta_{-c_{ij}}(q^{c_{ij}}z/w) \cdot \mathbf{F}_i(w) \cdot \mathbf{K}_j^\pm(z),$$

$$(e) \quad [\mathbf{E}_i(z), \mathbf{F}_j(w)] = (q - q^{-1}) \cdot \delta_{ij} \cdot$$

$$\left(\delta(z/w) \cdot \mathbf{K}_{i+1}^+(w)/\mathbf{K}_i^+(w) - \delta(z/w) \cdot \mathbf{K}_{i+1}^-(z)/\mathbf{K}_i^-(z) \right),$$

$$(f) \quad \mathbf{E}_i(z) \cdot \mathbf{E}_j(w) = \theta_{m_{ij}}(q^{i-j}z/w) \cdot \mathbf{E}_j(w) \cdot \mathbf{E}_i(z),$$

$$(g) \quad \mathbf{F}_i(z) \cdot \mathbf{F}_j(w) = \theta_{-m_{ij}}(q^{i-j}z/w) \cdot \mathbf{F}_j(w) \cdot \mathbf{F}_i(z),$$

$$(h) \quad \{(\mathbf{E}_i(z_1) \cdot \mathbf{E}_i(z_2) \cdot \mathbf{E}_j(w) - (q + q^{-1}) \cdot \mathbf{E}_i(z_1) \cdot \mathbf{E}_j(w) \cdot \mathbf{E}_i(z_2) + \mathbf{E}_j(w) \cdot \mathbf{E}_i(z_1) \cdot \mathbf{E}_i(z_2))\} + \\ + \{z_1 \leftrightarrow z_2\} = 0, \quad \text{if } |i - j| = 1,$$

$$(i) \quad \{\mathbf{F}_i(z_1) \cdot \mathbf{F}_i(z_2) \cdot \mathbf{F}_j(w) - (q + q^{-1}) \cdot \mathbf{F}_i(z_1) \cdot \mathbf{F}_j(w) \cdot \mathbf{F}_i(z_2) + \mathbf{F}_j(w) \cdot \mathbf{F}_i(z_1) \cdot \mathbf{F}_i(z_2)\} + \\ + \{z_1 \leftrightarrow z_2\} = 0, \quad \text{if } |i - j| = 1,$$

$$(j) \quad [\mathbf{F}_i(z), \mathbf{F}_j(w)] = [\mathbf{E}_i(z), \mathbf{E}_j(w)] = 0, \quad \text{if } |i - j| > 1,$$

where $\delta(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} z^n$, $\theta_m(z) = \frac{q^m \cdot z - 1}{z - q^m}$ and c_{ij} , m_{ij} , are the entries of the following $n \times n$ -matrices

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & \cdots & 0 \\ & & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad M = -C - C^t.$$

See [D] and [DF] for more details. In particular the relations (c), (d), (f) and (g) are written formally, and in practice one should first multiply both sides by the denominator of the function θ_m since formal currents may have zero divisors.

2.2. The polynomial representation. We keep the same notations as in the first chapter. Thus $\mathbf{R} = \mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 1}, x_2^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_d^{\pm 1}]$ and for any composition $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$ the algebra $\mathbf{R}^{(\mathbf{v})}$ is identified with the subalgebra of $S_{\mathbf{v}}$ -invariant polynomials in $\mathbf{R}$. Set $\mathbf{K} = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}} \mathbf{R}^{(\mathbf{v})}$. For any subset $I \subset [d]$ set

$$\Theta_I(z) = \prod_{m \in I} \theta_1(z/x_m).$$

Recall that if $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$ and $i \in [n]$ then $\bar{v}_i = v_1 + v_2 + \dots + v_i$ and $[\mathbf{v}]_i = [1 + \bar{v}_{i-1}, \bar{v}_i]$. Let

$$\hat{\mathbf{E}}_i(z), \hat{\mathbf{F}}_i(z) \in \bigoplus_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}} \text{Hom}(\mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{A}}^{(\mathbf{v})}, \mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{A}}^{(\mathbf{v} \pm \mathbf{e}_i \mp \mathbf{e}_{i+1})})[[z^{\pm 1}]]$$

be the following operators

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{E}}_i(z)(f) &= \begin{cases} (q - q^{-1}) \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_{i+1}} \left(f \cdot \delta(x_{1+\bar{v}_i}/z) \cdot \Theta_{[\mathbf{v}]_i}(qx_{1+\bar{v}_i}) \right) & \text{if } \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_{i+1} \in \mathbf{V}, \\ 0 & \text{else,} \end{cases} \\ \hat{\mathbf{F}}_i(z)(f) &= \begin{cases} (q - q^{-1}) \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_{i+1}} \left(f \cdot \delta(x_{\bar{v}_i}/z) \cdot \Theta_{[\mathbf{v}]_{i+1}}(x_{\bar{v}_i}/q)^{-1} \right) & \text{if } \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_{i+1} \in \mathbf{V}, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Moreover let $\hat{\mathbf{K}}_i^{\pm}(z) \in \text{End}(\mathbf{K}_{\mathbb{A}})[[z^{\pm 1}]]$ be the operator whose Fourier coefficients act on $\mathbf{R}^{(\mathbf{v})}$ by multiplication by the corresponding coefficient of the expansion at $z = \infty, 0$ respectively of the rational function

$$\hat{\mathbf{K}}_{i,\mathbf{v}}(z) = \Theta_{[1, \bar{v}_{i-1}]}(qz) \cdot \Theta_{[1+\bar{v}_i, d]}(z/q).$$

This rational function differs from the one used in [GV] since we consider here type $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}(n)$ instead of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(n)$. Denote by $\hat{\mathbf{E}}_{i,k}$, $\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{i,k}$, $\hat{\mathbf{K}}_{i,k}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{K}}_i^{\pm 1}$ if $k = 0$ the Fourier coefficient of z^{-k} in the series $\hat{\mathbf{E}}_i(z)$, $\hat{\mathbf{F}}_i(z)$, $\hat{\mathbf{K}}_i^{\pm}(z)$ (see Section 2.1). The main result of this section is the following theorem.

Proposition 11. *The map*

$$\mathbf{E}_i(z) \mapsto \hat{\mathbf{E}}_i(z), \quad \mathbf{F}_i(z) \mapsto \hat{\mathbf{F}}_i(z), \quad \mathbf{K}_i^{\pm}(z) \mapsto \hat{\mathbf{K}}_i^{\pm}(z),$$

extends uniquely to a representation of $\mathbf{U}$ on $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbb{K}}$.

Proof. Our proof is an extension to the affine case of the computations done in [V]. We will prove that the operators $\hat{\mathbf{E}}_i$, $\hat{\mathbf{F}}_i$ and $\hat{\mathbf{K}}_i$ satisfy the relations given in Section 2.1. The first and the second one are immediate. To verify the others we need some more notation. First, given a partition $I = (I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n)$ of the set $[d]$ into n subsets, for any $x \in (\mathbb{C}^{\times})^d$ let $x_I \in \mathbb{C}^{\times(I)}$ be its projection. Second, to any $k \in [d]$ we associate two operators, $\tau_k^{\pm}$, on the set of partitions of $[d]$ in such a way that $\tau_k^{\pm} I$ is the partition with k shifted from one piece

of I to the next (resp. the previous) one. Then, for any $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$ such that $\mathbf{v} \mp \mathbf{e}_i \pm \mathbf{e}_{i+1} \in \mathbf{V}$ and any $f \in \mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{A}}^{(\mathbf{v} \mp \mathbf{e}_i \pm \mathbf{e}_{i+1})}$ we get respectively

$$(\hat{\mathbf{E}}_i(z)f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]}) = (q - q^{-1}) \sum_{k \in [\mathbf{v}]_i} f(x_{\tau_k^+[\mathbf{v}]}) \delta(x_k/z) \Theta_{[\mathbf{v}]_i \setminus \{k\}}(qx_k),$$

$$(\hat{\mathbf{F}}_i(z)f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]}) = (q - q^{-1}) \sum_{k \in [\mathbf{v}]_{i+1}} f(x_{\tau_k^-[\mathbf{v}]}) \delta(x_k/z) \Theta_{[\mathbf{v}]_{i+1} \setminus \{k\}}(x_k/q)^{-1}.$$

. Let us prove (e). First observe that

$$\hat{\mathbf{K}}_{i+1, \mathbf{v}}(z) \hat{\mathbf{K}}_{i, \mathbf{v}}(z)^{-1} = \Theta_{[\mathbf{v}]_i}(qz) \Theta_{[\mathbf{v}]_{i+1}}(z/q)^{-1}.$$

The values of $(q - q^{-1})^{-2} \hat{\mathbf{E}}_i(z) \hat{\mathbf{F}}_i(w)f$ and $(q - q^{-1})^{-2} \hat{\mathbf{F}}_i(w) \hat{\mathbf{E}}_i(z)f$ at $x_{[\mathbf{v}]}$ are respectively

$$\sum_{\substack{k \in [\mathbf{v}]_i \\ l \in [\mathbf{v}]_{i+1} \cup \{k\}}} f(x_{\tau_l^- \tau_k^+[\mathbf{v}]}) \delta(x_k/z) \delta(x_l/w) \Theta_{[\mathbf{v}]_i \setminus \{k\}}(qx_k) \Theta_{[\mathbf{v}]_{i+1} \cup \{k\} \setminus \{l\}}(x_l/q)^{-1},$$

$$\sum_{\substack{k \in [\mathbf{v}]_i \cup \{l\} \\ l \in [\mathbf{v}]_{i+1}}} f(x_{\tau_k^+ \tau_l^-[\mathbf{v}]}) \delta(x_k/z) \delta(x_l/w) \Theta_{[\mathbf{v}]_i \cup \{l\} \setminus \{k\}}(qx_k) \Theta_{[\mathbf{v}]_{i+1} \setminus \{l\}}(x_l/q)^{-1}.$$

Their difference is equal to :

$$\begin{aligned} & f(x_{[\mathbf{v}]}) \sum_{k \in [\mathbf{v}]_i} \delta(x_k/z) \delta(x_k/w) \Theta_{[\mathbf{v}]_i \setminus \{k\}}(qx_k) \Theta_{[\mathbf{v}]_{i+1}}(x_k/q)^{-1} - \\ & - f(x_{[\mathbf{v}]}) \sum_{k \in [\mathbf{v}]_{i+1}} \delta(x_k/z) \delta(x_k/w) \Theta_{[\mathbf{v}]_i}(qx_k) \Theta_{[\mathbf{v}]_{i+1} \setminus \{k\}}(x_k/q)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Set $A(x) = \prod_{k \in [\mathbf{v}]_i \cup [\mathbf{v}]_{i+1}} (x - x_k)$ and $B(x) = \prod_{k \in [\mathbf{v}]_i} (qx - q^{-1}x_k) \prod_{k \in [\mathbf{v}]_{i+1}} (q^{-1}x - qx_k)$. Then

$$([\hat{\mathbf{E}}_i(z), \hat{\mathbf{F}}_i(w)]f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]}) = (q - q^{-1})^2 \sum_{k \in [\mathbf{v}]_i \cup [\mathbf{v}]_{i+1}} \frac{\delta(x_k/z) \delta(x_k/w) x_k^{-1} B(x_k)}{A'(x_k)} f(x_{[\mathbf{v}]}).$$

The right hand side in (e) is then obtained by a computation of residues. If $i \neq j \pm 1$ the preceding formulas for $\hat{\mathbf{E}}_i(z)$ and $\hat{\mathbf{F}}_j(w)$ give immediately $[\hat{\mathbf{E}}_i(z), \hat{\mathbf{F}}_j(w)] = 0$. Similarly the values of $(q - q^{-1})^{-2} \hat{\mathbf{E}}_i(z) \hat{\mathbf{F}}_{i+1}(w)f$ and $(q - q^{-1})^{-2} \hat{\mathbf{F}}_{i+1}(w) \hat{\mathbf{E}}_i(z)f$ at $x_{[\mathbf{v}]}$ are both equal to

$$\sum_{\substack{k \in [\mathbf{v}]_i \\ l \in [\mathbf{v}]_{i+2}}} f(x_{\tau_k^+ \tau_l^-[\mathbf{v}]}) \delta(x_k/z) \delta(x_l/w) \Theta_{[\mathbf{v}]_i \setminus \{k\}}(qx_k) \Theta_{[\mathbf{v}]_{i+2} \setminus \{l\}}(x_l/q)^{-1},$$

whereas the values of $(q - q^{-1})^{-2} \hat{\mathbf{E}}_i(z) \hat{\mathbf{F}}_{i-1}(w) f$ and $(q - q^{-1})^{-2} \hat{\mathbf{F}}_{i-1}(w) \hat{\mathbf{E}}_i(z) f$ at $x_{[\mathbf{v}]}$ are both equal to

$$\sum_{\substack{k, l \in [\mathbf{v}]_i \\ k \neq l}} f(x_{\tau_k^+ \tau_l^- [\mathbf{v}]}) \delta(x_k/z) \delta(x_l/w) \Theta_{[\mathbf{v}]_i \setminus \{k\}}(qx_k) \Theta_{[\mathbf{v}]_i \setminus \{k, l\}}(x_l/q)^{-1}.$$

Thus $[\hat{\mathbf{E}}_i(z), \hat{\mathbf{F}}_j(w)] = 0$ whenever $i \neq j$.

• Let us prove (f). The value of $(q - q^{-1})^{-2} \hat{\mathbf{E}}_k(z) \hat{\mathbf{E}}_l(w) f$ at $x_{[\mathbf{v}]}$ in the three cases $(k, l) = (i, i), (i, i+1), (i+1, i)$ is respectively

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{k, l \in [\mathbf{v}]_i \\ k \neq l}} f(x_{\tau_k^+ \tau_l^+ [\mathbf{v}]}) \delta(x_k/z) \delta(x_l/w) \Theta_{[\mathbf{v}]_i \setminus \{k\}}(qx_k) \Theta_{[\mathbf{v}]_i \setminus \{k, l\}}(qx_l), \\ & \sum_{\substack{k \in [\mathbf{v}]_i \\ l \in [\mathbf{v}]_{i+1} \cup \{k\}}} f(x_{\tau_k^+ \tau_l^+ [\mathbf{v}]}) \delta(x_k/z) \delta(x_l/w) \Theta_{[\mathbf{v}]_i \setminus \{k\}}(qx_k) \Theta_{[\mathbf{v}]_{i+1} \cup \{k\} \setminus \{l\}}(qx_l), \\ & \sum_{\substack{k \in [\mathbf{v}]_{i+1} \\ l \in [\mathbf{v}]_i}} f(x_{\tau_k^+ \tau_l^+ [\mathbf{v}]}) \delta(x_k/z) \delta(x_l/w) \Theta_{[\mathbf{v}]_{i+1} \setminus \{k\}}(qx_k) \Theta_{[\mathbf{v}]_i \setminus \{l\}}(qx_l). \end{aligned}$$

The first equality gives

$$(q^{-1}z - qw) \hat{\mathbf{E}}_i(z) \hat{\mathbf{E}}_i(w) = (qw - q^{-1}w) \hat{\mathbf{E}}_i(w) \hat{\mathbf{E}}_i(z),$$

the other two give

$$(w - z) \hat{\mathbf{E}}_i(z) \hat{\mathbf{E}}_{i+1}(w) = (qw - q^{-1}z) \hat{\mathbf{E}}_{i+1}(w) \hat{\mathbf{E}}_i(z)$$

(note that we have used the basic formula $(z - w) \delta(z) \delta(w) = 0$). When $|i - j| > 1$ the relation (f) is immediate. The proof of (g) is similar.

• Let us prove (c). The value of $(q - q^{-1})^{-2} \hat{\mathbf{E}}_k(z) \hat{\mathbf{E}}_l(w) f$ at $x_{[\mathbf{v}]}$ in the three cases $(k, l) = (i, i), (i, i+1), (i+1, i)$ is respectively given by

$$\sum_{\substack{k, l \in [\mathbf{v}]_i \\ k \neq l}} f(x_{\tau_k^+ \tau_l^+ [\mathbf{v}]}) \delta(x_k/z) \delta(x_l/w) \Theta_{[\mathbf{v}]_i \setminus \{k\}}(qx_k) \Theta_{[\mathbf{v}]_i \setminus \{k, l\}}(qx_l),$$

$$(\hat{\mathbf{E}}_i(z) \hat{\mathbf{K}}_i(w) f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]}) =$$

$$= (q - q^{-1}) \sum_{k \in [\mathbf{v}]_i} f(x_{\tau_k^+ [\mathbf{v}]}) \delta(x_k/z) \Theta_{[\mathbf{v}]_i \setminus \{k\}}(qx_k) \Theta_{[1, \bar{v}_{i-1}]}(qw) \Theta_{[1 + \bar{v}_i, d]}(w/q) \theta_1(q^{-1}w/x_k),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\hat{\mathbf{K}}_i(w)\hat{\mathbf{E}}_i(z)f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]}) = \\
& = (q - q^{-1}) \sum_{k \in [\mathbf{v}]_i} f(x_{\tau_k^+[\mathbf{v}]}) \delta(x_k/z) \Theta_{[\mathbf{v}]_i \setminus \{k\}}(qx_k) \Theta_{[1, \bar{v}_{i-1}]}(qw) \Theta_{[1+\bar{v}_i, d]}(w/q).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\delta(x_k/z)\theta_1(q^{-1}w/x_k) = \delta(x_k/z)\theta_1(q^{-1}w/z)$, we thus obtain

$$\hat{\mathbf{E}}_i(z)\hat{\mathbf{K}}_i(w) = \theta_1(q^{-1}w/z)\hat{\mathbf{K}}_i(w)\hat{\mathbf{E}}_i(z).$$

Similarly we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\hat{\mathbf{E}}_i(z)\hat{\mathbf{K}}_{i+1}(w)f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]}) = \\
& = (q - q^{-1}) \sum_{k \in [\mathbf{v}]_i} f(x_{\tau_k^+[\mathbf{v}]}) \delta(x_k/z) \Theta_{[\mathbf{v}]_i \setminus \{k\}}(qx_k) \Theta_{[1, \bar{v}_i]}(qw) \Theta_{[1+\bar{v}_{i+1}, d]}(w/q) \theta_{-1}(qw/x_k),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\hat{\mathbf{K}}_{i+1}(w)\hat{\mathbf{E}}_i(z)f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]}) = \\
& = (q - q^{-1}) \sum_{k \in [\mathbf{v}]_i} f(x_{\tau_k^+[\mathbf{v}]}) \delta(x_k/z) \Theta_{[\mathbf{v}]_i \setminus \{k\}}(qx_k) \Theta_{[1, \bar{v}_i]}(qw) \Theta_{[1+\bar{v}_{i+1}, d]}(w/q).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\hat{\mathbf{E}}_i(z)\hat{\mathbf{K}}_{i+1}(w) = \theta_{-1}(qw/z)\hat{\mathbf{K}}_{i+1}(w)\hat{\mathbf{E}}_i(z).$$

The other cases are obvious and (d) is proved in the same way.

• Let us prove formula (j). If $|i - j| > 2$ then $(q - q^{-1})^{-2}\hat{\mathbf{E}}_i(z)\hat{\mathbf{E}}_j(w)f(x_{[\mathbf{v}]})$ equals

$$\sum_{\substack{k \in [\mathbf{v}]_i \\ l \in [\mathbf{v}]_j}} f(x_{\tau_l^+ \tau_k^+[\mathbf{v}]}) \delta(x_k/z) \delta(x_l/w) \Theta_{[\mathbf{v}]_i \setminus \{k\}}(qx_k) \Theta_{[\mathbf{v}]_j \setminus \{l\}}(qx_l).$$

Switching the factors $\hat{\mathbf{E}}_i(z)$ and $\hat{\mathbf{E}}_j(w)$ yields the same expression.

• Let us prove the remaining Serre relations. We will only prove the formula (h) for $j = i+1$ since the other cases are similar. First, let notice that $(q - q^{-1})^3 (\hat{\mathbf{E}}_i(z_1)\hat{\mathbf{E}}_i(z_2)\hat{\mathbf{E}}_{i+1}(w)f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]})$, $(q - q^{-1})^3 (\hat{\mathbf{E}}_i(z_1)\hat{\mathbf{E}}_{i+1}(w)\hat{\mathbf{E}}_i(z_2)f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]})$ and $(q - q^{-1})^3 (\hat{\mathbf{E}}_{i+1}(w)\hat{\mathbf{E}}_i(z_1)\hat{\mathbf{E}}_i(z_2)f)(x_{[\mathbf{v}]})$ are equal respectively to

$$\sum_{\substack{k \neq l \in [\mathbf{v}]_i \\ h \in [\mathbf{v}]_{i+1} \cup \{k, l\}}} A_{k, l, h}(z_1, z_2, w) \Theta_{[\mathbf{v}]_i \setminus \{k\}}(qx_k) \Theta_{[\mathbf{v}]_i \setminus \{k, l\}}(qx_l) \Theta_{[\mathbf{v}]_{i+1} \cup \{k, l\} \setminus \{h\}}(qx_h),$$

$$\sum_{\substack{k \neq l \in [\mathbf{v}]_i \\ h \in [\mathbf{v}]_{i+1} \cup \{k\}}} A_{k, l, h}(z_1, z_2, w) \Theta_{[\mathbf{v}]_i \setminus \{k\}}(qx_k) \Theta_{[\mathbf{v}]_{i+1} \cup \{k\} \setminus \{h\}}(qx_h) \Theta_{[\mathbf{v}]_i \setminus \{k, l\}}(qx_l),$$

$$\sum_{\substack{k \neq l \in [\mathbf{v}]_i \\ h \in [\mathbf{v}]_{i+1}}} A_{k,l,h}(z_1, z_2, w) \Theta_{[\mathbf{v}]_{i+1} \setminus \{h\}}(qx_h) \Theta_{[\mathbf{v}]_i \setminus \{k\}}(qx_k) \Theta_{[\mathbf{v}]_i \setminus \{l,k\}}(qx_l),$$

where $A_{k,l,h}(y, z, w) = f(x_{\tau_k^+ \tau_l^+ \tau_h^+}[\mathbf{v}]) \delta(x_k/y) \delta(x_l/z) \delta(x_h/w)$. Set $I = [\mathbf{v}]_{i+1}$. We are thus reduced to prove that, given $k \neq l \in [\mathbf{v}]_i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \sum_{h \in I \cup \{k,l\}} \Theta_{I \cup \{k,l\} \setminus \{h\}}(qx_h) + 2 \sum_{h \in I} \Theta_{I \setminus \{h\}}(qx_h) = \\ &= (q + q^{-1}) \sum_{h \in I \cup \{k\}} \Theta_{I \cup \{k\} \setminus \{h\}}(qx_h) + (q + q^{-1}) \sum_{h \in I \cup \{l\}} \Theta_{I \cup \{l\} \setminus \{h\}}(qx_h). \end{aligned}$$

For any subset $J \subset [d]$ with m elements, we have

$$\sum_{h \in J} \Theta_{J \setminus \{h\}}(qx_h) = [m]$$

(see [M] for instance). Thus the equality is a consequence of the (obvious) equality

$$[m+1] + [m-1] = [2][m], \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}^\times,$$

which follows from the decomposition of the product of m -dimensional and 2-dimensional simple representations of $\mathrm{SL}(2)$. $\square$

2.3. K-theoretic construction of $\mathbf{U}$. Recall that F is the variety of all n -step flags in $\mathbb{C}^d$ and $Z \subset T^*F \times T^*F$ is the Steinberg variety (see Sections 1.3 and 1.4). The group $G = GL_d \times \mathbb{C}^\times$ acts on Z as in Section 1.4 : GL_d acts diagonally and $z \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ acts by multiplication by z^{-2} along the fibers. Given a partition $\mathbf{v}$ of $d-1$ into n summands and $i \neq j$ we set

$$E_{ij}(\mathbf{v}) = E_{ij}(\mathbf{v}, 1) = \mathrm{diag}(\mathbf{v}) + E_{ij} \in \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{e}_j).$$

If $j = i \pm 1$ the corresponding GL_d -orbits in $F_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_i} \times F_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_{i\pm 1}}$ are closed and are given by

$$O_{E_{i+1}(\mathbf{v})} = \{(D, D') \in F^2 \mid D'_k \subseteq D_k \text{ and } \dim(D_k/D'_k) = \delta_{ki}\},$$

$$O_{E_{i-1}(\mathbf{v})} = \{(D, D') \in F^2 \mid D_k \subseteq D'_k \text{ and } \dim(D'_k/D_k) = \delta_{ki-1}\}.$$

Thus both projections

$$F_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_i} \xleftarrow{p_1} O_{E_{i+1}(\mathbf{v})} \xrightarrow{p_2} F_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_{i\pm 1}}$$

are smooth and proper with fibers isomorphic respectively to the projective spaces $\mathbb{P}^{v_i}$ and $\mathbb{P}^{v_{i\pm 1}}$. Recall that the conormal bundle to $O_{E_{i+1}(\mathbf{v})}$ is denoted by $Z_{E_{i+1}(\mathbf{v})}$. Given $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ let $\mathcal{O}_{p_1}(k)$ and T^*p_1 be the relative invertible sheaf $\mathcal{O}(k)$ and the relative cotangent sheaf along the fibers of p_1 respectively. Let $\mathcal{E}_{i,\mathbf{v},k}$ be the pull-back to $Z_{E_{i+1}(\mathbf{v})}$ of the sheaf $\mathrm{Det}(T^*p_1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{p_1}(k)$ on $O_{E_{i+1}(\mathbf{v})}$. Similarly let $\mathcal{F}_{i,\mathbf{v},k}$ be the pull-back to $Z_{E_{i-1}(\mathbf{v})}$ of the sheaf $\mathrm{Det}(T^*p_1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{p_1}(k)$ on $O_{E_{i-1}(\mathbf{v})}$. Since the orbits $O_{E_{i\pm 1}(\mathbf{v})}$ are closed, the varieties $Z_{E_{i\pm 1}(\mathbf{v})}$ are irreducible components of Z . Hence, the sheaves $\mathcal{E}_{i,\mathbf{v},k}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{i,\mathbf{v},k}$ may be

viewed as sheaves on Z . They come equipped with the natural G -equivariant structure. We set

$$\mathcal{E}_{i,k} = \sum_{\mathbf{v}} (-q)^{-v_i} [\mathcal{E}_{i,\mathbf{v},k}] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}_{i,k} = \sum_{\mathbf{v}} (-q)^{-v_{i+1}} [\mathcal{F}_{i,\mathbf{v},k}]$$

in $K^G(Z)$. Form the corresponding generating functions

$$\mathcal{E}_i(z) = \sum_k \mathcal{E}_{i,k} z^{-k}, \quad \mathcal{F}_i(z) = \sum_k \mathcal{F}_{i,k} z^{-k} \in K^G(Z)[[z, z^{-1}]].$$

We have introduced in Section 2.2 some generating series $\hat{\mathbf{K}}_i^\pm(z)$ whose Fourier coefficients, $\hat{\mathbf{K}}_{i,k}^\pm$ ($k \in \mp\mathbb{N}$), are homogeneous Laurent polynomials in $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d$. Since T^*F is isomorphic to the conormal bundle of the diagonal $\Delta \subset F \times F$, the cotangent bundle T^*F is naturally identified with an irreducible component of Z , say Z_Δ . Under the map

$$\mathbf{K}_\mathbb{A} \xrightarrow{\sim} K^G(F) \xrightarrow{\sim} K^G(T^*F) \xrightarrow{\sim} K^G(Z_\Delta) \longrightarrow K^G(Z)$$

the $\hat{\mathbf{K}}_{i,k}$'s can be viewed as classes of equivariant sheaves on Z supported by Z_Δ . Let $\mathcal{K}_{i,k}$ denote these classes and write $\mathcal{K}_i(z) = \sum_k \mathcal{K}_{i,k} z^{-k} \in K^G(Z)[[z, z^{-1}]]$. The algebra $K^G(Z)$ acts by convolution on $K^G(T^*F) \simeq \mathbf{K}_\mathbb{A}$. The following result is stated in [GV, Proposition 7.7].

Lemma 12. *The convolution action of $\mathcal{E}_{i,k}, \mathcal{F}_{i,k}, \mathcal{K}_{i,k} \in K^G(Z)$ on $K^G(T^*F) = \mathbf{K}_\mathbb{A}$ is given precisely by the operators $\hat{\mathbf{E}}_{i,k}, \hat{\mathbf{F}}_{i,k}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{K}}_{i,k}$.*

Proof. See [V, Theorem 10]. The formula in the proof of Proposition 6 gives

$$[Tp_1] = \sum_{\bar{v}_{i-1} < t \leq \bar{v}_i} x_{1+\bar{v}_i}/x_t.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} [\mathcal{E}_{i,\mathbf{v},k}] = x_{1+\bar{v}_i}^k \prod_{\bar{v}_{i-1} < t \leq \bar{v}_i} x_{1+\bar{v}_i}^{-1} x_t, \\ \sum_i (-1)^i [\Lambda^i Tp_1] = \prod_{\bar{v}_{i-1} < t \leq \bar{v}_i} (1 - q^2 x_{1+\bar{v}_i}/x_t). \end{cases}$$

The result follows from Corollary 4 and Proposition 6. □

The following result is stated in [GV, Lemma 7.5].

Lemma 13. *The representation of $K^G(Z)$ on $K^G(T^*F)$ by convolution is faithful.*

Proof. See [CG, claim 7.6.7]. □

The following result is stated in [GV, Theorem 7.9].

Theorem 1. *The map $\mathbf{E}_{i,k} \mapsto \mathcal{E}_{i,k}$, $\mathbf{F}_{i,k} \mapsto \mathcal{F}_{i,k}$, $\mathbf{K}_{i,k} \mapsto \mathcal{K}_{i,k}$, extends uniquely to a morphism of algebras $\Psi : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow K^G(Z)_{\mathbb{K}}$.*

Proof. Immediate since Lemmas 12, 13 and Proposition 11 imply that the elements $\mathcal{E}_{i,k}, \mathcal{F}_{i,k}, \mathcal{K}_{i,k}$ of the algebra $K^G(Z)$ satisfy the relations in Section 2.1. $\square$

2.4. Surjectivity of the map Ψ . For any $t \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ let $\mathbf{U}_t$ and $K^G(Z)_t$ be the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -algebras obtained by specializing $\mathbf{U}$ and $K^G(Z)$ to $q = t$. The map Ψ restricts to an algebra homomorphism

$$\Psi_t : \mathbf{U}_t \rightarrow K^G(Z)_t.$$

Theorem 2. *If t is not a root of unity the map $\Psi_t : \mathbf{U}_t \rightarrow K^G(Z)_t$ is surjective.*

Fix $t \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ which is not a root of unity. For any $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$ set

$$\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{v}} = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \prod_{\substack{m=0 \\ m \neq d-v_i}}^d \frac{\mathbf{K}_i - t^m}{t^{d-v_i} - t^m} \in \mathbf{U}_t.$$

Lemma 14. *$\Psi_t(\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{u}}) \star K^G(Z_{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}})_t \neq 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v}$. Similarly $K^G(Z_{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}})_t \star \Psi_t(\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{u}}) \neq 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{w}$.* $\square$

Lemma 15. *Fix a partition $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$. If $t \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ is not a root of unity, then the Fourier coefficients of the series $\hat{\mathbf{K}}_{i, \mathbf{v}}(z)$'s generate the algebra $\mathbf{R}^{(\mathbf{v})}$.*

Proof. Introduce elements $\mathbf{H}_{i,k}$ in $\mathbf{U}$, $i \in [n]$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}^\times$, such that

$$\mathbf{K}_i^\pm(z) = \mathbf{K}_i^{\pm 1} \exp \left(\pm (q - q^{-1}) \sum_{k \geq 1} \mathbf{H}_{i, \pm k} \cdot z^{\mp k} \right).$$

Each $\mathbf{H}_{i,k}$ can be expressed as an algebraic expression in the $\mathbf{K}_{i,k}$'s, more precisely

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} \mathbf{H}_{i, \pm k} z^{\mp k} = \pm (q - q^{-1})^{-1} \log \left(\mathbf{K}_i^{\mp 1} \mathbf{K}_i^\pm(z) \right).$$

A direct computation shows that in the component $\mathbf{R}^{(\mathbf{v})}$ of the polynomial representation the element $\mathbf{H}_{i, \pm k}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}^\times$, acts via multiplication by the polynomial $\hat{\mathbf{H}}_{i, \pm k}$ such that

$$\hat{\mathbf{H}}_{i, \pm k} = -\frac{[k]}{k} \left(t^{\mp k} \sum_{l=1}^{\bar{v}_{i-1}} x_l^{\pm k} + t^{\pm k} \sum_{l=1+\bar{v}_i}^d x_l^{\pm k} \right).$$

We are done. $\square$

Proof of the theorem. The elements $\mathcal{E}_{i,k}$, $\mathcal{F}_{i,k}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{i,k}$ of $K^G(Z)_t$ decompose as the sum of their components in each $K^G(Z_{\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}})_t$, where $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{V}$. Lemma 14 implies that all these components belong to $\Psi_t(\mathbf{U}_t)$. On the other hand Lemma 15 implies that

$$K^G(Z_A)_t \subset \Psi_t(\mathbf{U}_t)$$

for any diagonal matrix $A \in \mathbf{M}$. The surjectivity now follows from Proposition 10. $\square$

3. The simple and standard modules.

We keep the previous notation. In particular $G = GL_d \times \mathbb{C}^\times$, $F = \coprod_{\mathbf{v}} F_{\mathbf{v}}$ is the (disconnected) n -step flag manifold, Z is the Steinberg-type variety, $M_{\mathbf{v}} = T^*F_{\mathbf{v}}$ the cotangent bundles, $M = \coprod_{\mathbf{v}} M_{\mathbf{v}}$, and

$$N = \{x \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^d) \mid x^n = 0\}.$$

Moreover for any $x \in N$ let $F_{\mathbf{v},x} = \{D \in F_{\mathbf{v}} \mid x(D_i) \subset F_{i-1}\}$ be the Springer variety. Let $T \subset GL_d$ be the subgroup of diagonal matrices and set $A = T \times \mathbb{C}^\times$. Then, the Bivariant Localization Theorem [CG, Theorem 5.11.10] and the Bivariant Riemann-Roch Theorem [CG, Theorem 5.11.11] give a homomorphism of algebras

$$K^G(Z) \rightarrow H_*(Z^a)$$

for all $a = (s, t) \in A$, where Z^a denotes the fixed point subvariety and H_* stands for the Borel-Moore homology with complex coefficients (see either [GV]). Moreover this map is surjective [CG, Theorem 6.2.4]. As a consequence, for any $a = (s, t) \in A$ we have a surjective homomorphism

$$\Psi_a : \mathbf{U}_t \rightarrow K^G(Z)_t \rightarrow H_*(Z^a).$$

Fix $a = (s, t) \in T \times \mathbb{C}^\times$. Let $G(s)$ be the centralizer of s in GL_d . Let $M^a = \coprod_{\mathbf{v}} M_{\mathbf{v}}^a$ be the fixed point subvariety and $\pi : M^a \rightarrow N^a$ the projection to

$$N^a = \{x \in N \mid s x s^{-1} = t^{-2} x\}.$$

The map π commutes with the action of $G(s)$. For all $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$ consider the $G(s)$ -equivariant complex on N^a

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{v}}^a = \pi_* \mathbb{C}_{M_{\mathbf{v}}^a}[\dim M_{\mathbf{v}}^a]$$

and set $\mathcal{L}^a = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{v}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{v}}^a$. The equivariant version of the Beilinson-Bernstein-Deligne Decomposition Theorem gives

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{v}}^a = \bigoplus_{\substack{O \subset N^a \\ i \in \mathbb{Z}}} L_{O,\mathbf{v},i} \otimes IC_O[i],$$

where $IC_O[i]$ is the shift of the intersection cohomology complex associated to the constant sheaf on O (recall that the isotropy subgroup in $G(s)$ of a point in O is connected). Put

$L_{O,\mathbf{v}} = \oplus_i L_{O,\mathbf{v},i}$ and $L_O = \oplus_{\mathbf{v}} L_{O,\mathbf{v}}$ for all O . The L_O 's are simple $\mathbf{U}_t$ -modules [GV, Theorem 8.4], [CG, Theorem 8.6.12] (for their non-vanishing see the remark after Theorem 4). The total cohomology space $H_*(F_x^s)$ is endowed with the structure of a $\mathbf{U}_t$ -module (see [CG, Proposition 8.6.15]). Since this module only depends on the $G(s)$ -orbit, O , of x in N^a , let denote it by M_O . The module M_O is called a *standard module*. Then we have the following analogue of the Kazhdan-Lusztig multiplicity formula (see [GV, Theorem 6.6] and [CG, Theorem 8.6.23]).

Theorem 3. *For any $a = (s, t) \in A$ and any $G(s)$ -orbits $O, O' \in N^a$ one has*

$$[M_{O'} : L_O] = \sum_i \dim \mathcal{H}_{O'}^i(IC_O).$$

□

In the formula above $\dim \mathcal{H}_{O'}^i(IC_O)$ is the rank of the i -th local cohomology sheaf of the complex IC_O at any point of O' . We recall that the quantized universal enveloping algebra of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(n)$ (with trivial central charge), denoted by $\mathbf{U}'$, may be viewed as the $\mathbb{K}$ -subalgebra of $\mathbf{U}$ generated by Fourier coefficients of the series (see [DF])

$$\mathbf{E}_i(z), \quad \mathbf{F}_i(z), \quad \mathbf{K}_{i+1}^\pm(t^i z) \mathbf{K}_i^\pm(t^i z)^{-1}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

Lemma 16. *The $\mathbf{U}_t$ -modules L_O are simple $\mathbf{U}'_t$ -modules.*

Proof. Immediate since Fourier coefficients of $\prod_{i=1}^n \mathbf{K}_i^\pm(zt^{2i})$ are central in $\mathbf{U}_t$. □

Fix $t \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, not a root of unity. Then, simple $\mathbf{U}'_t$ -modules are parametrized by their Drinfeld polynomials (the proof is due to Chari and Pressley, see [CP2]). Recall further that a nilpotent matrix $y \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^d)$ is labelled by the partition $\lambda(y) = (\lambda_1(y) \geq \lambda_2(y) \geq \dots)$ such that $\lambda_i(y)$ is the length of the i -th Jordan block of y . If $y^n = 0$ the dual partition, $\lambda^\vee(y) = (\lambda_1^\vee(y) \geq \lambda_2^\vee(y) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n^\vee(y))$, is such that

$$\lambda_i^\vee(y) = \dim \text{Ker}(y^i) - \dim \text{Ker}(y^{i-1}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

In particular $\lambda^\vee(y)$ may be viewed as a dominant weight of $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ in the obvious way. Denote by $\lambda^\vee(O)$ the dual partition of a representative of any nilpotent orbit O .

Theorem 4. *Fix $a = (s, t) \in A$ and fix a $G(s)$ -orbit $O \subset N^a$. Put $\lambda^\vee(O) = (\lambda_1^\vee, \lambda_2^\vee, \dots, \lambda_n^\vee)$. Then, the Drinfeld polynomials of the simple $\mathbf{U}'_t$ -module L_O are given by*

$$P_i(z) = \prod_{\lambda_{i+1}^\vee < k \leq \lambda_i^\vee} (z - t^{i-2} s_k^{-1}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1,$$

where the s_k 's are such that the matrix s is conjugate to

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\lambda_1^\vee} s_i D(\lambda_i) \quad \text{with} \quad D(k) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} t^{-2i} E_{ii} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}^\times.$$

Remark. It follows that the L_O 's are non zero for all O . Moreover this non-vanishing result is still valid if t is a root of unity.

We first mention the following simple result of linear algebra (see [CG, Remark 4.2.2]).

Lemma 17. *If $x \in N$ and $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$, then*

$$F_{\mathbf{v},x} \neq \emptyset \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbf{v} \leq \lambda^\vee(x),$$

where $\leq$ is the standard order on the weight lattice of $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 4. By construction we have

$$L_{O,\mathbf{v}} = \{u \in L_O \mid \mathbf{K}_i \cdot u = t^{d-v_i}u, \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

In other words, $L_{O,\mathbf{v}} \subset L_O$ is the subspace of weight $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (v_i - v_{i+1})\omega_i$ where ω_i is the i -th fundamental weight of $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$. Fix $x \in O$ and set $\lambda^\vee = \lambda^\vee(x)$. Since $F_{\lambda^\vee,x}$ reduces to the single flag

$$0 \subseteq \text{Ker}(x) \subseteq \text{Ker}(x^2) \subseteq \dots \subseteq \text{Ker}(x^n) = \mathbb{C}^d$$

the weight $\lambda^\vee$ has multiplicity one in the $\mathbf{U}'_t$ -module. Moreover, it follows from Lemma 17 that all the weights of M_O are less or equal to $\lambda^\vee$. By construction

$$\begin{aligned} L_{O,\mathbf{v}} \neq \{0\} &\Rightarrow O \subset \pi(M_{\mathbf{v}}^a), \\ &\Rightarrow F_{\mathbf{v},x}^s \neq \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the weights of L_O are less or equal to $\lambda^\vee$ again. On the other hand Theorem 3 implies that

- (i) $[M_O : L_O] = 1$,
- (ii) $[M_O : L_{O'}] \neq 0 \Rightarrow O \subset \overline{O'}$.

Fix another orbit $O' \neq O$ containing O in its closure. Then $\lambda^\vee(O') < \lambda^\vee(O)$. So (i) and (ii) imply that the module L_O has highest weight $\lambda^\vee$ and that $L_{O,\lambda^\vee} = H^*(F_{\lambda^\vee,x}^s)$. Since $F_{\lambda^\vee,x}^s$ reduces to a single point, on $L_{O,\lambda^\vee} \subset L_O$ we get (see 2.2)

$$\mathbf{K}_{i+1}^\pm(t^i z) \mathbf{K}_i^\pm(t^i z)^{-1} = \prod_{\lambda_{i+1}^\vee < k \leq \lambda_i^\vee} \theta_{-1}(t^{1-i} s_k z^{-1}),$$

and we are done. $\square$

Let $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_{n-1}$ be the fundamental weights of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$. For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ and any $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, let $V(\omega_i)_\alpha$ denote the fundamental representation of $\mathbf{U}'$, i.e. the simple finite dimensional $\mathbf{U}'$ -module whose Drinfeld polynomials are

$$P_j(z) = (z - \alpha)^{\delta_{ji}}, \quad \forall j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

For any partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_l \geq 0)$ of d and any $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_l) \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^l$, consider the block diagonal $d \times d$ -matrices

$$x_\lambda = \bigoplus_{i=1}^l J(\lambda_i) \quad \text{with} \quad J(k) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} E_{i,i+1} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}^\times,$$

and

$$s_{\lambda,\alpha} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^l \alpha_i D(\lambda_i),$$

(see the previous theorem). In particular $s_{\lambda,\alpha} x_\lambda s_{\lambda,\alpha}^{-1} = t^2 x_\lambda$. Denote by $O_{\lambda,\alpha}$ the $G(s_{\lambda,\alpha})$ -orbit of x_λ in $N^{a_\lambda,\alpha}$, where $a_{\lambda,\alpha} = (s_{\lambda,\alpha}, t)$.

Proposition 18. *If $\lambda_i < n$ for all i then the classes of $M_{O_{\lambda,\alpha}}$ and*

$$V(\omega_{\lambda_1})_{\alpha_1^{-1}t^{\lambda_1-2}} \otimes V(\omega_{\lambda_2})_{\alpha_2^{-1}t^{\lambda_2-2}} \otimes \dots \otimes V(\omega_{\lambda_l})_{\alpha_l^{-1}t^{\lambda_l-2}}$$

in the Grothendieck ring of finite dimensional $\mathbf{U}'_t$ -modules are equal.

Proof. First, recall the geometric construction of the Schur functor given in [GRV, Theorem 6.8] between $\mathbf{U}_t$ -modules and $\mathbf{H}_t$ -modules, where $\mathbf{H}_t$ is the affine Hecke algebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_d$ with quantum parameter t . Suppose that $n \geq d$. Let F_c be the variety of complete flags in $\mathbb{C}^d$ and let $M_c = T^*F_c$ be the cotangent bundle. Given $a \in A$, let $\pi : M_c^a \rightarrow N^a$ be the projection and consider the complex $\mathcal{L}_c^a = \pi_* \mathbb{C}_{M_c^a}[\dim M_c^a]$. Put

$$\mathbf{U}_a = \text{Ext}(\mathcal{L}^a, \mathcal{L}^a), \quad \mathbf{H}_a = \text{Ext}(\mathcal{L}_c^a, \mathcal{L}_c^a) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{W}_a = \text{Ext}(\mathcal{L}_c^a, \mathcal{L}^a)$$

(the Yoneda Ext-groups in the bounded derived category of constructible sheaves on N^a .) The space $\mathbf{W}_a$ is a $(\mathbf{U}_a, \mathbf{H}_a)$ -bimodule. Moreover, since we have surjective algebra homomorphisms

$$\mathbf{U}_t \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{U}_a \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{H}_t \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{H}_a,$$

a $\mathbf{H}_a$ -module (resp. a $\mathbf{U}_a$ -module) can be pulled back to a $\mathbf{H}_t$ -module (resp. a $\mathbf{U}_t$ -module). The Schur dual of the pull-back of a $\mathbf{H}_a$ -module V is the pull-back of the $\mathbf{U}_a$ -module $V^o = \mathbf{W}_a \otimes_{\mathbf{H}_a} V$. In particular, fix a nilpotent orbit $O \subset N^a$ and fix a point $x \in O$. Denote by j the embedding $\{x\} \hookrightarrow N^a$. The standard module M_O is isomorphic to the cohomology space $H^*(j^! \mathcal{L}^a)$, where $\mathbf{U}_t$ acts via the algebra homomorphism

$$\mathbf{U}_t \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{U}_a \rightarrow \text{Ext}(j^! \mathcal{L}^a, j^! \mathcal{L}^a).$$

M_O splits as a vector space into the direct sum

$$M_O = \bigoplus_{O', k} L_{O'} \otimes H^k(j^! IC_{O'}).$$

Similarly the underlying vector space of the standard $\mathbf{H}_t$ -module corresponding to O is

$$N_O = \bigoplus_{O', k} L_{c, O'} \otimes H^k(j^! IC_{O'}).$$

Let $\mathbf{A}_a \subset \mathbf{H}_a$ be the maximal semi-simple subalgebra of $\mathbf{H}_a$, i.e. $\mathbf{A}_a = \bigoplus_O \text{End}(L_{c, O})$. Recall that we have an isomorphism $\mathbf{W}_a = \left(\bigoplus_O \text{Hom}(L_{c, O}, L_O) \right) \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_a} \mathbf{H}_a$ (see [GRV; (6.12)]). Then,

$$\begin{aligned} N_O^o &= \bigoplus_{O'', k} \left(\bigoplus_{O'} \text{Hom}(L_{c, O'}, L_{O'}) \right) \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_a} \mathbf{H}_a \otimes_{\mathbf{H}_a} L_{c, O''} \otimes H^k(j^! IC_{O''}), \\ &= \bigoplus_{O', k} \left(\text{Hom}(L_{c, O'}, L_{O'}) \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_a} L_{c, O'} \otimes H^k(j^! IC_{O'}) \right), \\ &= M_O. \end{aligned}$$

Now using the Induction Theorem [KL, Theorem 6.2] one proves that the class $[N_O]$ of N_O in the Grothendieck ring is the same as the class of an induced module (see [A]). More precisely, we have

$$[N_{O_{\lambda, \alpha}}] = [\mathbf{H}_t \otimes_{\mathbf{H}_{\lambda, t}} \mathbb{C}_\alpha].$$

Here $\mathbf{H}_{\lambda, t} \subset \mathbf{H}_t$ is the affine Hecke algebra of the Young subgroup of $\mathfrak{S}_d$ associated to the partition λ and $\mathbb{C}_\alpha$ is the one-dimensional module such that

$$T_i \mapsto t \quad \text{and} \quad X_i \mapsto s_{\lambda, \alpha, i},$$

where $s_{\lambda, \alpha, i}$ is the i -th entry in $s_{\lambda, \alpha}$. In particular if $\lambda = (d)$ then x_λ is regular and, thus, $N_{O_{\lambda, \alpha}}$ is the simple $\mathbf{H}_t$ -module labelled by $O_{\lambda, \alpha}$. If moreover $d < n$, its Schur dual is the simple $\mathbf{U}_t$ -module $L_{O_{\lambda, \alpha}} \simeq V(\omega_d)_{\alpha^{-1}t^{d-2}}$. Using the behaviour of the Schur functor with respect to tensor products (see [CP1] for the case of the affine Hecke algebra) we get the result. $\square$

Remark. Theorem 3 implies in particular that if $O_{\lambda, \alpha} \subset N^{a_{\lambda, \alpha}}$ is open then $V(\omega_{\lambda_1})_{\alpha_1^{-1}t^{\lambda_1-2}} \otimes V(\omega_{\lambda_2})_{\alpha_2^{-1}t^{\lambda_2-2}} \otimes \cdots \otimes V(\omega_{\lambda_l})_{\alpha_l^{-1}t^{\lambda_l-2}}$ is irreducible.

References

- [A] Ariki, S.: On the decomposition number of the Hecke algebra of $G(m, 1, n)$. *preprint*, (1996).
- [BLM] Beilinson, A., Lusztig, G., MacPherson, R.: A geometric setting for quantum groups. *Duke Math. J.*, **61** (1990), 655-675.
- [CG] Chriss, N., Ginzburg, V.: Representation theory and complex geometry. *Birkhauser* (1997).

- [CP1] Chari, V., Pressley, A.: Quantum affine algebras and affine Hecke algebras. *qalg-preprint*, **9501003**.
- [CP2] Chari, V., Pressley, A.: A guide to quantum groups. *Cambridge University Press* (1994).
- [D] Drinfeld, V.: A new realization of Yangians and quantized affine algebras. *Soviet. Math. Dokl.*, **36** (1988), 212-216.
- [DF] Ding, J., Frenkel, I.: Isomorphism of two realizations of the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}(n))$. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **156** (1994), 277-300.
- [GRV] Ginzburg, V., Reshetikhin, N., Vasserot, E.: Quantum groups and flag varieties. *Contemp. Math.*, **175** (1994), 101-130.
- [GV] Ginzburg, V., Vasserot, E.: Langlands reciprocity for affine quantum groups of type A_n . *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, **3** (1993), 67-85.
- [KL] Kazhdan, D., Lusztig, G.: Proof of the Deligne-Langlands conjecture for Hecke algebras. *Invent. Math.*, **87** (1987), 153-215.
- [M] MacDonald, I.G.: Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials. *Oxford university press* (1995).
- [V] Vasserot, E.: Representations de groupes quantiques et permutations. *Annales Sci. ENS*, **26** (1993), 747-773.

Eric Vasserot
 Département de Mathématiques
 Université de Cergy – Pontoise
 2 Av. A. Chauvin
 95302 Cergy – Pontoise Cedex
 France
 email : vasserot at math.pst.u – cergy.fr